

# **CHALMERS**



## **Development of Next Generation Optical Engines**

Concept Design and Validation by Numerical Methods Master's thesis in Applied Mechanics

KRISTOFFER CLASÉN ANDERS DAHL

## MASTER'S THESIS IN APPLIED MECHANICS

## Development of Next Generation Optical Engines

Concept Design and Validation by Numerical Methods

KRISTOFFER CLASÉN ANDERS DAHL

Department of Applied Mechanics Division of Combustion CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Göteborg, Sweden 2016

Development of Next Generation Optical Engines Concept Design and Validation by Numerical Methods KRISTOFFER CLASÉN ANDERS DAHL

© KRISTOFFER CLASÉN, ANDERS DAHL, 2016

Master's thesis 2016:61 ISSN 1652-8557 Department of Applied Mechanics Division of Combustion Chalmers University of Technology SE-412 96 Göteborg Sweden Telephone: +46 (0)31-772 1000

Cover: Rendering of DROE engine concept. Created by Clasén, Dahl and rendered in Autodesk Inventor 2016

Chalmers Reproservice Göteborg, Sweden 2016 Development of Next Generation Optical Engines Concept Design and Validation by Numerical Methods Master's thesis in Applied Mechanics KRISTOFFER CLASÉN ANDERS DAHL Department of Applied Mechanics Division of Combustion Chalmers University of Technology

## Abstract

Optical engines are used as research and development tools to study the combustion inside internal combustion engines. Conventional optical engines uses an extended piston in order to be able to observe the combustion chamber from below, through the piston. This extended piston, or Bowditch piston, limits the load and speed in which the engine can operate due to its geometrically weak design and considerable mass. This master thesis proposes a design for a new type of optical engine that has significantly higher mechanical performance compared to conventional optical engines. The new design may provide an engine speed increase of up to 100%.

Optical engines are often single cylinder internal combustion engines, fitted with transparent parts providing optical access to the combustion chamber. Using the optical access, various processes taking place in the combustion chamber may be studied optically which becomes more and more important in today's advanced engines, some of which are direct injected. The optical engine can be fitted with a transparent liner providing optical access from the side of the combustion chamber, together with the Bowditch piston providing access from below. These pistons are known for their high weight and low stiffness, frequently limiting engine speeds and cylinder pressures to low or moderate. For a standard optical engine with car engine specifications, maximum speeds can be around 2500 RPM. By summer 2014 Anders Dahl and Kristoffer Clasén came up with the idea of how to replace the Bowditch piston in an optical engine. By reconfiguring the conrod and crankshaft, optical access was achieved from beneath the piston rather than inside, making the piston shorter, lighter and therefore stronger. The reconfiguration also resulted in higher force absorption, which is a key feature since increasing engine speed drastically increases the piston acceleration and hence the reaction forces.

The concept was consumed by Bohus Automotive AB and a master thesis in collaboration with the division of combustion at Chalmers was initiated. The work was divided in two parts; development and validation of the piston, and development of an engine comprising the piston. The aim of the piston validation was to determine a first estimate of the mechanical performance. A piston design was created using the CAD-software Inventor and an iterative approach. When the design had matured it was analysed by FEM with regard to stress, using the commercial FE-software Ansys Workbench Mechanical. Parallel to the piston an engine design was developed using the same software and iterative approach. The aim of the engine development was to establish a suitable engine layout comprising the piston concept. Without an engine, the piston has no use. Much of the engine was created using engineering intuition to be able to create a whole engine design within the project time, and the engine will need its own verification in the future. The piston analyses showed promising results, indicating a performance increase of up to 100% in engine speed compared to the Bowditch design. Likewise, a successful engine with a compact design was established that fulfilled the demands on ease of use and accessibility.

A few simplifications and assumptions have been implemented in the analyses, and more work is needed in the future to verify other components such as crankshaft and conrods. The transparent parts have been excluded from the analyses since they would inevitably limit the performance. Normally the transparent liner would be the first component to break. There is however a configuration option of the new engine that excludes the transparent liner which would allow much higher loads than usual.

Keywords: Optical Engine, Bowditch, Combustion Analyses

## Preface

This is a master thesis conducted at the Division of Combustion, Department of Applied Mechanincs, Chalmers. It is a work aimed to develop an optical engine concept into a mature engine design. The concept, which was originally invented by Anders Dahl and Kristoffer Clasén in summer 2014 was consumed by Bohus Automotive AB and this project was initiated in collaboration with the Division of Combustion at Chalmers.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank our examiner Professor Ingemar Denbratt for giving us permission to have this subject for our thesis and providing us with a good work environment at the department of Combustion.

We would like to express our sincere gratitude towards our first supervisor Ph.D. student Anders Johansson. Without him, this thesis would never have been initiated.

Thank you our second supervisor Ph.D. student Erik Svenning for showing an interest in the project and offering help.

A big thanks to the staff of the department of Combustion which has supported us by making us feel at home in the offices and especially Ph.D. Alf Magnusson for his high spirits and good advice.

At Volvo Cars Group we received help from Håkan Sandström in form of drawings of engine parts and Conny Nydén provided us with cylinder pressure traces. Göran Josefsson provided us with feedback and a vision of the possibilities with our engine. Thank you all.

We received financial support from Innovationskontor Väst in order to produce a scaled 3D-printed model of the engine concept. They have shown a great interest in this project and they have our sincere thanks.

We also received funding from Almi Företagspartner enabling us to apply for a patent of our design and for this we are very grateful.

## Abbreviations & Definitions

| DROE               | - | Dual Rod Optical Engine                                       |
|--------------------|---|---|
| IC                 | - | Internal Combustion   |
| Conrod             | - | Connecting Rod  |
| VEP                | - | Volvo Engine Petrol   |
| CAD                | - | Computer-Aided Design   |
| CAD                | - | Crank Angle Degree (in context)                               |
| FE                 | - | Finite Element  |
| FEM                | - | Finite Element Method   |
| BDC                | - | Bottom Dead Center, 180° crank angle                          |
| TDC                | - | Top Dead Center, 0° crank angle                               |
| Compression height | - | Vertical distance between piston pin center and top of piston |

## Contents

| Abstract   | i   |
|--|---|
| Preface  | iii   |
| Acknowledgements   | iii   |
| Abbreviations & Definitions  | v   |
| Contents   | vii   |
| 1 Introduction    1.1 Background   | 1<br>1<br>2<br>2<br>3   |
|  | -   |
| 3 Piston Development    3.1 Engine Properties    3.1.1 Dimensions    3.1.2 Cylinder Pressure    3.1.3 Cartesian coordinate system    3.2 Piston Forces    3.2 Piston Forces    3.2.1 Motion    3.2.2 Equilibrium    3.2.3 Simplifications    3.3 Benchmark    3.3 Benchmark nalysis Setup    3.3 Benchmark Analysis Setup    3.4 Design Improvement    3.4.1 Initial Concept, AA-piston    3.4.2 FE-analysis of the AA-Piston    3.4.3 Design Iterations AA-EC    3.5 I Iteration 1 - EB    3.5.2 Iteration 2 - GB    3.5.3 Iteration 3 - GD | $\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 15 \end{array}$ |
| 4  Engine Development    4.1  Requirements and Goals    4.2  Design Hierarchy    4.3  Limitations    4.4  Concept Generation    4.4.1  DRSC, Dual Rod Single Crank    4.4.2  DRDC-W, Dual Rod Dual Crank - Wet    4.4.3  DRDC-D, Dual Rod Dual Crank - Dry    4.4.4  Base concept    4.5  Component Design    4.5.1  Iterative Design and Parametrized Models    4.6  Engine Iteration 1   | <b>17</b><br>17<br>18<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>21<br>21<br>21<br>21<br>21<br>21<br>22   |
| 4.6  Engine iteration 1  | 21<br>22<br>22  |

| 4.6.3 Cylinder                          | . 22      |
|---|-----------|
| 4.7 Engine Iteration 2                  | . 22      |
| 4.7.1 Crank Case                        | . 23      |
| 4.7.2 Crankshafts and Synchronization   | . 23      |
| 4.8 Engine Iteration 3                  | . 24      |
| 4.8.1 Crank Case                        | . 24      |
| 4.8.2 Cylinder                          | . 25      |
| 4.8.3 Lift System                       | . 25      |
| 4.8.4 Cylinder Head                     | . 26      |
| 4.8.5 Cam Drive                         | . 26      |
| 4.9 Engine Iteration 4                  | . 27      |
| 4.9.1 Shaft Layout                      | . 27      |
| 4.9.2 Crank Case                        | . 27      |
| 4.9.3 Cylinder and Lift System          | . 28      |
| 4.9.4 Balance Shafts                    | . 29      |
|   |           |
| 5 Results                               | <b>31</b> |
| 5.1 Benchmark                           | . 31      |
| 5.2 Stress Analysis of AA-piston        | . 32      |
| 5.3 Piston Design Iterations            | . 33      |
| 5.4 FE-iterations of the Piston         | . 35      |
| 5.4.1 Iteration 1 - EB                  | . 35      |
| 5.4.2 Iteration 2 - GB                  | . 38      |
| 5.4.3 Iteration 3 - GD                  | . 40      |
| 5.4.4 GF-metal piston                   | . 44      |
| 5.5 The Dual Rod Optical Engine Concept | . 46      |
|   |           |
| 6 Discussion                            | 50        |
| 6.1 Piston                              | . 50      |
| 6.1.1 Design                            | . 50      |
| 6.1.2 Performance                       | . 50      |
| 6.1.3 Piston Pin Problematics           | . 51      |
| 6.1.4 Other Limiting Elements           | . 51      |
| 6.2 Engine                              | . 52      |
| 6.2.1 Shaft Layout Problematics         | . 52      |
| 6.2.2 Lift System Problematics          | . 53      |
| 6.2.3 Future Work on Engine             | . 53      |
|   |           |
| References                              | <b>54</b> |
| A Piston Forces                         | Ι         |
| A.1 Motion                              | . I       |
| A.2 Force Equilibrium                   | . IV      |
| A.2.1 Conrod Inertia                    | . IV      |
| A.2.2 Total Force                       | . V       |
| B. Material data                        | VII       |
|   | v 11      |
| C Boundary Conditions                   | IX        |
| C.1 Benchmark                           | . IX      |
| C.2 Piston AA                           | IX        |
| C.3 Stiffness comparison                | . X       |
| C.4 Iteration 1 and 2 - EB and GB       | . XI      |
| C.5 Iteration 3 - GD                    | . XII     |
|   |           |
| D Design Improvement AA - EC            | XIII      |
| D.1 B-series                            | . XIII    |

| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |            |            | · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · | <br>XIV<br>XV |
|--|------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|
| E Design Char  | ges G-seri | es Pistons |             |                     | XVII          |
| F Concept Con  | nparison   |            |             |                     | XIX           |

## 1 Introduction

The internal combustion engine (IC-engine) is without doubt one of the most important propulsion systems in existence. However, today's need for more efficient and less polluting engines sets higher demands than ever on the IC-engine development. Even though electrical vehicles are emerging, the IC-engine is still to be reckoned in the future of transportation. This master thesis is dedicated to the initial development and validation of a research tool that may help improve the IC-engine further.

## 1.1 Background

Optical combustion engines are research and development tools for IC-engines. An optical combustion engine is normally a single cylinder four stroke petrol- or diesel engine, with a cylinder displacement that resembles one cylinder in a multi-cylinder engine. The engine is mounted in a test cell with various equipment to control and record the combustion. An electric motor is connected directly to the crankshaft and controls the engine speed and monitors torque output. The fuel system is equipped with a weighing scale to monitor the fuel consumption and different emission measuring devices are used to record different particles in the exhaust. However, optical engines are mostly used to observe different phenomena inside the combustion chamber. Some examples are PIV (Particle Image Velocimetry), which is used to track the motion of injected particles inside the cylinder, and different laser scattering techniques which are used to observe for example soot particles and fuel concentrations. The different techniques often relies on a laser to be fired through what is called the engine's optical access and a camera to record lit areas or scattered light. The optical access point is usually a segment of transparent material in direct connection with the combustion chamber.

The current optical engines available are often based upon a design consisting of a Bowditch-piston, as can be seen in Figure 1.1. The Bowditch-piston is an elongated piston with an extension bolted on top of a standard piston that provides optical access from below the combustion chamber through a transparent window in the piston roof. A stationary 45° tilted mirror is inserted into an oval side opening of the piston extension, which transfer the image to the side of the engine. The extended piston is usually combined with a transparent liner or a transparent segment of the liner that provides optical access from the side of the combustion chamber. The combination of a transparent piston and liner provides a three dimensional view of the combustion chamber. The transparent components are often made of fused silica (synthetic quartz glass) or clear sapphire due to their abilities to transfer light in an relevant spectrum, their mechanical properties and heat resistance.

Optical engine research may be divided in two types, independent research and development validation. For independent research purposes the optical engine only has to provide the performance and conditions needed for the specific study. The research may even be adapted to the limitations of the engine. However, when using an optical engine for engine development and validation purposes the optical engine should resemble the full metal engine it is based upon. If the optical engine do not correspond to the metal engine, the behaviour in the combustion chamber will be different. Compression ratio, heat conductivity, geometry, engine speed and pressure capacity are such properties that may alter the outcome of the study. At this stage the problems with the current optical engines arise. The piston extension reduces the piston stiffness, thus altering the compression ratio. The transparent components have significantly less heat conductivity than metal. The mass of the piston extension, which may add 2 kg or more to the total mass of the piston assembly, increases the inertia forces by an excessive margin thus limiting the engine speed. Difficulties with heat can be managed using different ways of cooling. The compression ratio can be compensated for. The inertia forces however can not be decreased without changing the design. The mass can only be decreased to a certain limit. Beyond the limit the extension becomes so weak that it can not be operated. The Bowditch-piston may therefore be the limiting element in an optical engine, only allowing speeds and loads to low or moderate, thus limiting the range of the studies that may be conducted.

Today the demands on engines are higher than ever, with substantial power density increase and downsizing, low emissions and low fuel consumption. Combustion techniques are getting more and more advanced. The advantages of an optical engine that could provide such performance, where the old ones fail, that allows researchers and developers to view the whole engine speed and load spectrum should not be underestimated.

During summer 2014 Anders Dahl and Kristoffer Clasén came up with a new concept of an optical piston that



Figure 1.1: Bowditch piston, 3/4 isometric section view

showed theoretical potential to increase the performance of an optical engine compared to the Bowditch design, without compromising the optical access. This master thesis was established to take the step from idea to concept, and to perform an initial verification of the engine performance.

## 1.2 Aim of the Project

The following questions defining the project will be answered during this thesis:

- Based upon the initial idea, what is the best design of the piston with regard to strength and mass that can be achieved within the limits of the project?
- What is the performance of the concept regarding speed and load?
- How do the new concept compare to the existing designs?
- What is a possible design of the rest of the engine, adapted to the new piston?

## 1.3 Method

To answer the questions defined, various methods and tools have been chosen and implemented. For concept model generation the CAD-software Autodesk Inventor 2016 have been used since the thesis workers have much experience of this software. This is the tool where the piston and overall engine design have been produced. Motion and load calculations have been conducted using MATLAB R2013b. FE-analyses have been performed using the FE software Mechanical in ANSYS Workbench 15.0. The boundary conditions used were computed

in MATLAB and exported to an Excel worksheet and then copied into ANSYS. The piston and engine design have been created using an iterative approach, meaning that the designs have been improved in iterations. Much of the engine design have been accomplished by the use of the experience of the two master students involved in this project.

## 1.4 Limitations

This project is a master thesis work and is limited to 2x800 working hours. The engine concept model developed is only to be a 3D virtual design and not a physical model. It is important to note that the final engine design is to be a mere concept, not an actual production ready blueprint. The results obtained are limited to the precision of the computations and may differ from possible physical tests. Due to the time limit only a few chosen loading cases thought to be of most importance have been implemented in the FE-analyses. The chosen Bowditch-piston for comparison was assumed to serve as a representative design for Bowditch-pistons in general. Unknown better designs may possibly be in existence. The thesis work aims to evaluate only the piston performance. Other engine limitations, such as glass strength, heat or measuring equipment limitations was not considered.

## 2 Concept

The concept was named DROE, Dual Rod Optical Engine. The DROE engine concept can be seen in Figure 2.1. Instead of using a piston extension with an oval side window where the 45° mirror is inserted, the piston extension was removed completely. The mirror was placed underneath the piston, where the conrod would normally be. Two rods, placed on each side of the piston, was introduced, leaving space in between to allow for clearance of the mirror, or optical access. Placing the mirror underneath the piston means that it will be located right in the crankcase. The crankcase is full of oil spray, thus the mirror, or optical volume, has to be isolated to avoid the oil spray. What makes the concept possible to use is the introduction of an inverse T-pipe. The T-pipe is stationary and the I-part is located inside the piston. The hollow piston moves up and down around the pipe and a ring seals between the outside of the pipe and the inside of the piston. Each end of the flat part of the T-pipe leads to each side of the crankcase, and in the middle of the T a 45° mirror can be inserted. These items are what defines the DROE concept.



Figure 2.1: DROE engine, 3/4 isometric section view

The concept has three inherit advantages compared to the Bowditch design; First, DROE does not have the weak extension of the Bwditch, which gives DROE an improved piston strength due to a circular cross section (compared to the double-C in the Bowditch) and a shorter overall length. Second, two conrods leads to twice the force absorption capability. This is particularly interesting since an optical piston will inevitably be heavier than a standard piston, which means increased inertia forces. Double rods will then ultimately allow for increased engine speeds, even if the piston mass is not reduced compared to a Bowditch piston. Third, the DROE piston allows for oil involvement in the combustion chamber. In a Bowditch engine there can be no oil in the combustion chamber. This may be interesting when investigating processes that are affected by the presence of oil like super knocking.

The concept also has three inherit drawbacks. First, since the conrods are placed at each side of the piston instead of the middle, all force caused by inertia and combustion located in the center of the piston has to be diverted to these two connections on the sides, introducing a bending moment through the piston bottom. The bending moment has to be absorbed by the piston itself and some of the mass lost from excluding the Bowditch extension will reemerge as bending reinforcement for this reason. Second, the crankshaft will have to

be redesigned. Two options are possible, either using extra long conrods allowing for the T-pipe to be placed between piston and crankshaft, or split the crankshaft in two and place the T-pipe in between the crankshafts, as can be seen in Figure 2.1. Splitting the crankshaft will weaken it. However, the crankshaft can be heavily reinforced without compromising engine performance since rotational mass is not a concern in a rig-operated research engine. Third, the optical volume has to be isolated from the crankcase. If there is a leak, oil will intrude and may cover the mirror and piston glass. This will inevitably affect the results. The axial seal ring between T-pipe and piston will probably be critical since it is a kinematic seal difficult to make fully leak proof.

## 3 Piston Development

The mechanical properties of the DROE piston will in the end determine the engine performance. Therefore, the major analysis and validation work have been focused on the piston to achieve best possible outcome within the limits of this thesis. This chapter will cover the piston work, carried out in the following steps:

- Establishing fundamental engine design parameters, such as stroke and bore.
- Derivation of the piston forces, later used as boundary conditions for the various analyses.
- Establishing a benchmark using FE strength analysis, using a conventional optical piston design.
- Iterative DROE piston development, where the work have been further divided as:
  - Initial FEM stress analysis of the first DROE concept piston.
  - Major iterative piston development using stiffness comparison.
  - Minor iterative piston improvement and validation, using thorough FE strength analysis.

## 3.1 Engine Properties

For the optical engine to take shape it had to be defined by fundamental dimensions. It was chosen to use the current VEP (Volvo Engine Petrol) platform as a role model for the engine and piston design. This was a choice made of the project group for tactical reasons. The Volvo engine platform is highly relevant for development purposes, the dimensions were accessible and Volvo could provide engine data such as cylinder pressure.

## 3.1.1 Dimensions

The dimensions of the VEP can be seen in Table 3.1.

| Property                       | Dimension [mm] |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Cylinder bore $(D)$            | 82             |
| Stroke $(2 \cdot r)$           | 93.2           |
| Conrod length $(l)$            | 142.6          |
| Main bearing diameter          | 60             |
| Conrod bearing diameter/Length | 50 / 24        |
| Piston Pin diameter/ length    | 21 / 54        |
| Piston Pin offset              | 0.5            |

Table 3.1: Properties of the chosen engine reference, VEP.

Stroke, conrod length and piston pin offset are the parameters that determines the motion of the piston. Cylinder bore determines the pressure force and the diameter of the piston. The dimensions can be seen in context in Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1: Crank Rod Slider mechanism

## 3.1.2 Cylinder Pressure

To perform FE-analysis the cylinder pressure was needed for an accurate result. The cylinder pressure traces were provided by Volvo Cars, contained in an AVL Concerto iFile. The data was imported into MATLAB using the Catool Toolbox. The data was taken from a Volvo VEP-HP (Volvo Engine Petrol - High Power) four cylinder engine, the 320 hp petrol engine also known as the current T6. The pressures had been collected in a range from 1200 RPM to 6000 RPM with an interval of 300 RPM. Pressure was collected from each cylinder and 100 combustion cycles in order. Thus there are 17 different engine speeds, and for each speed there are  $4 \times 100$  pressure traces. For each engine speed, the mean value of the 400 traces was computed. This is due to that the cylinder pressure fluctuates, and the pressure sensors may vary in their reading. A map of the engine pressure in the operating region can be seen in Figure 3.2.



Figure 3.2: Pressure map of the VEP-HP in the operating region, full throttle

#### 3.1.3 Cartesian coordinate system

A global cartesian coordinate system was introduced to the engine to define forces and parts. It was chosen to place the Z-axis in the piston center axis, X-axis in the center of the crankshaft pointing to the front of the engine and the Y-axis pointing to the right side of the engine, as could be seen in Figure 3.1.

## **3.2** Piston Forces

The forces acting on the piston have been derived from the chosen engine properties. The derived forces have been used as boundary conditions for the FE-analysis performed on the piston. The procedure of deriving the forces are covered in the following sections.

## 3.2.1 Motion

An illustration of the crank-slider mechanism can be seen in Figure 3.3.



Figure 3.3: Acceleration components of crank-slider mechanism

To compute the inertia forces the accelerations of piston and conrod was needed. The derivations of the piston and conrod motion can be seen in Appendix A. For simplicity, it was assumed that the crankshaft maintained a constant speed during a cycle. To verify the computed results a comparison was made with results obtained from a rigid body model in ANSYS WB Mechanical. The comparison of piston acceleration between MATLAB and ANSYS can be seen in Figure 3.4. The overall result was very similar between the two which indicates that the computed acceleration of the piston was correct. However, on closer look there was a difference. The curve generated in ANSYS showed a small fluctuation. The error may be caused by interpolation error in the ANSYS model, probably caused by too large time steps. This phenomenon could possibly have been avoided by ramping up the speed of the crankshaft before sampling, rather than start with full speed right away. In the same manner, the acceleration of the center of mass and the conrod have been compared between ANSYS and MATLAB, as can be seen in Figure 3.5. In this comparison the result was also quite similar between the two methods, thus indicating correct calculations, but small deviations occur in the difference curve. At the ending a quite large peak in the y-component is present in the ANSYS result. No explanation for this error was found. Due to the errors in the results obtained from ANSYS it was chosen to only implement the results from MATLAB in the force computations.



Figure 3.4: Comparison of piston acceleration between ANSYS and MATLAB



Figure 3.5: Comparison of conrod acceleration between ANSYS and MATLAB

#### 3.2.2 Equilibrium

From the derived accelerations of piston and conrod the corresponding reaction forces could be computed using Newton's second law. The equilibrium derivations can be seen in Appendix A.2. The force equilibrium sketch can be seen in Figure 3.6.



Figure 3.6: Forces

#### 3.2.3 Simplifications

A few simplifications have been made in the computation of the piston forces. The crankshaft was assumed to keep a constant speed during each cycle. In reality, the speed may vary depending on the different pressures in the cylinder. Only inertia and pressure forces have been taken into consideration. Piston ring friction has been omitted due to the uncertainty of the friction magnitude at various occasions. Thermal impact from combustion has also been omitted from the analysis.

## 3.3 Benchmark

Before the analysis of the DROE piston began, a benchmark was established. Since simplifications were to be implemented, the FE-analysis results obtained may not be completely accurate and without verification such as physical testing the results should not be considered as exact. It was also of interest to quantify the improvement in piston stiffness and performance such as engine speed of the DROE piston compared to an existing Bowditch design. Piston stiffness is an important factor since the stiffness affects the compression ratio. The Benchmark consisted of determining a suitable piston, which a FE strength analysis was conducted on.

#### 3.3.1 Benchmark piston

The chosen benchmark concept was a Bowditch piston, manufactured by AVL and used at Volvo Cars. The piston can be seen in Figure 3.7. The Bowditch piston was provided on a drawing. The standard piston, the piston below the extension, was a VEP-HP piston manufactured by Federal Mogul and was provided by Volvo Cars as a CAD-model. A question arose whether or not this piston was the correct one to be used with the AVL Bowditch extension. The answer was not found, thus the decision was made to use the provided VEP-HP



Figure 3.7: Isometric view of VEP Bowditch piston

piston since no better option was available. Compression height of the AVL piston was 367.4 mm and mass of approximately 2.303 kg. Mass was extracted from Inventor, piston rings excluded. It is important to notice that this Bowditch piston was not verified to be overall representative for all Bowditch pistons, but since it has been manufactured and used for testing it was considered sufficient for serving as a benchmark. The fact that it was designed for the VEP also made it well suited for the comparison with VEP based DROE.

## 3.3.2 Changing Benchmark Crown

As can be seen in Figure 3.7, the AVL piston has an extended crown. In the upper cylinder there is no lubricant, which is why the piston rings are made from a self lubricant and low wear material like PTFE. Due to the increased temperatures caused mainly from the glass liner and the lack of cooling, the crown is extended and the piston rings moved down to prevent their contact with the glass liner thus minimizing the risk of overheating the rings. Since the DROE concept was fitted with a short crown, this became an unfavourable difference between the two concepts. To minimize uncertainty factors, such as the difference in crown design, the Bowditch piston was fitted with the DROE crown instead, hence a more fair comparison. The standard piston extension, and the modified version for DROE crown can be seen in Figure 3.8. Due to the change of crown, the compression height was reduced to 287 mm and the piston mass to 1.853 kg.

## 3.3.3 Benchmark Analysis Setup

The benchmark was found by conducting a FE stress analysis on the chosen Bowditch piston using ANSYS WB Mechanical. The target was to find the engine speed which gave a safety factor 2 against yielding, and a corresponding load/ cylinder pressure. The boundary conditions applied can be found in Appendix C.1. The geometry was created using Inventor, and imported into ANSYS as a step-file.



Figure 3.8: Bowditch extension, modified and standard

#### Materials

The material used in the piston extension was CK45, stated in the drawing. The lower piston material was unknown since material identity could not be provided by the piston manufacturer. Instead, a cast aluminium alloy called M244 provided by Mahle was chosen. Material data can be found in Appendix B. Piston pin was set to default structural steel, provided by ANSYS.

#### Model reduction

The crown was excluded from the model to reduce size, and replaced by an inertia force. Between bottom piston and extension there is a screw connection, consisting of four M8 screws. To further reduce model complexity and size the screws were replaced by springs. The screw heads were imprinted on the screw flange on the extension to apply the spring load.

At first the whole piston was split in half due to symmetry. However, it was found problematic since two screw holes were split in half thus causing the corresponding springs to slide and cause errors. To make sure the model should work properly without compromises with the springs, the model was made as a whole part again.

#### Loading cases

Three different loading cases were implemented in the benchmark analysis, as can be seen in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2: Loading cases for benchmark FE-analyses

| Case No. | Speed [RPM] | CAD [°] | $F_{y,pp}$ [N] | $F_{c-e}$ [N] | $a_z  [\mathrm{m/s^2}]$ |
|----------|-------------|---------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| 1        | 2700        | -360    | -34            | 1317          | -4942                   |
| 2        | 2100        | 31      | -7238          | -47238        | -2302                   |
| 3        | 2700        | -131    | 1502           | -1938         | 2593                    |

The piston was tested for its ability to withstand the inertia forces, cases 1 and 3, which represents maximum positive and negative acceleration of the piston. Case 2 was introduced to test the compressive strength, where it was found that at 2100 RPM the compressive force is at its maximum at the piston pin. Higher speeds counteract the pressure due to piston inertia.

## 3.4 Design Improvement

In this section the piston design improvement process will be covered. First, the initial concept called AA was analysed. Using the results from AA, the major design improvement process was conducted, using an iterative approach with a simplified FE-analysis as feedback.

## 3.4.1 Initial Concept, AA-piston

The initial concept, called AA, can be seen in Figure 3.9



Figure 3.9: Isometric view of AA-piston

The major characteristic of the first piston was that the piston pins were part of the lower section of the piston. As one might imagine, the bending stress of the pins could become a problem. Two crossheads were mounted to the pins, to absorb lateral forces from conrod inertia and reaction force due to conrod rocking motion. An internal groove was placed inside the piston at the bottom. In the groove an axial seal ring could be placed. The seal ring should slide against the inner tube, thus preventing oil from reaching the optical volume. The crown was fitted with piston rings, PG59-d82 [Tre11] and the inner seal ring RG58-d64. Each groove was dimensioned accordingly.

A drawback with the AA-piston was the included pins that would probably be difficult to manufacture. When assembling the piston into the engine, the conrods must be mounted on the piston at first, and then lowered into the engine and mounted onto the crankshaft. In the opposite order, the conrods must always be dismounted from the crankshaft to be able to dismount the piston.

## 3.4.2 FE-analysis of the AA-Piston

A stress analysis was performed to detect flaws in the first design, using FEM in ANSYS WB Mechanical. The results were used as a starting point in the following iterative design improvement. The geometry of the AA-piston was split in half to reduce model size. The boundary conditions implemented can be found in Appendix C.2. Four loading cases were applied to test the piston, defined in Table 3.3.

It was chosen to implement the CK45 steel to the piston and titanium grade 5 to the crown. Detailed material data can be found in Appendix B. CK45 was found to have suitable properties and would eliminate material differences when comparing to the benchmark. Titanium is a suitable material in combination with quartz, since titanium has relatively low heat conductivity and expansion coefficient compared to other metals. As one might imagine the benchmark stresses in the piston pin fillets became very large. The design was also shown to have low stiffness. Due to these discoveries, the decision was made to abandon the built in piston pins and go

| Case No. | Speed [RPM] | CAD [°] | $F_{y,pp}$ [N] | $P_{cyl}$ [kPa] | $a_z  [\mathrm{m/s^2}]$ |
|----------|-------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 1        | 6000        | -360    | -92            | 127             | -24406                  |
| 2        | 6000        | -131    | 3021           | 201             | 12805                   |
| 3        | 6000        | 25      | -1222          | 11918           | -20690                  |
| 4        | 2100        | 31      | -3605          | 9125            | -2302                   |

Table 3.3: Loading cases for piston AA

for free pins instead, with piston pin cases and pin bores in the piston.

## 3.4.3 Design Iterations AA-EC

After the analysis of the AA-piston was completed, the major design improvement work began. The target was to find a suitable piston design on which to conduct stress analysis and validation. A detailed description of the design updates together with pictures can be found in Appendix D. Each design have been given a name by two letters, where the first indicates major changes and the second smaller changes.

An iterative approach was implemented. Using the information from the AA-piston design, new piston designs were developed and improved repeatedly until time limited further work. To simplify benchmark comparison it was chosen to use the same piston pin diameter, 21 mm, since pin diameter affects bearing load capacity. Conrod to conrod distance was set to 100 mm for the first piston, which were shown to fit all other pistons as well.

To compare each iteration step, without performing an advanced and time consuming stress analysis, a faster and simpler approach was sought for. Stiffness computation by FEM in ANSYS was chosen due to its simplicity. By stretching/ compressing the piston at the top by a chosen displacement and measure the reaction force at the piston pin, the stiffness could be computed and compared amongst the different designs. Both piston AA and the benchmark AVL piston was included in the comparison.

The stiffness computations were performed with FEM using ANSYS WB Mechanical. Each piston were reduced to a quarter piece due to symmetry, which reduced the model size and simplified boundary conditions. The reduction to quarter size was possible since only vertical forces was present. The boundary conditions used in the stiffness comparison can be seen in Appendix C.3, and results can be found in 5.3. Piston material was set to CK45, crown to titanium and crossheads to M244, Appendix B.

## 3.5 FE-iterations and Validation

With the major design iterations completed, the more thorough stress analysis could be conducted. The pistons were analysed with regard to stress, and the results were used detect flaws. The design was improved accordingly, before continuing to next iteration. The first FE-iteration was conducted on the EB-piston.Improvements were implemented to the G-series which was used in the second and third FE-iterations. All FE-computations were conducted using ANSYS WB Mechanical. Materials implemented for the piston was once again CK45, titanium for the crown and M244 for crossheads, Appendix B. A quite ventured target was set for the FE-analyses, first full engine speed and second full cylinder pressure, to have something to work towards in the improvement process.

## 3.5.1 Iteration 1 - EB

The EB-piston was of special interest to investigate due to the introduction of crossheads, but also to create a perception of the stress distribution in the new design. The boundary conditions can be seen in Appendix C.4. The applied loading conditions can be seen in Table 3.4.

Only two loading conditions were chosen for this iteration. These represents maximum tension and compression. The FE-model of the piston was split in half to reduce model size and like the benchmark analysis the crown was removed and replaced with an inertia force. The same procedure was applied to G-series as well. The

Table 3.4: Loading cases for EB-piston FE-analysis

| Case No. | Speed [RPM] | CAD [°] | $F_{y,pp}$ [N] | $F_{c-e}$ [N] | $a_z  [\mathrm{m/s^2}]$ |
|----------|-------------|---------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| 1        | 6000        | -360    | -82.54         | 4729          | -24406                  |
| 2        | 2100        | 31      | -3649          | -23619        | -2302                   |

FE-model was split in half to reduce model size like previous models. The crosshead was bolted to the side of the conrod case with four M4-screws. Like the benchmark FE-model, the screws were replaced by springs with a pre load.

#### 3.5.2 Iteration 2 - GB

Second iteration and first of the G-series was performed on the GB-piston. F-series was abandoned due to poor design. A few modifications were implemented due to the results from EB:

- The interface between crosshead and piston was simplified to a smooth surface and centering flange around the piston pin, favourable in both computational and manufacturing aspects.
- The lower holes of the crosshead connections were moved to prevent the stress concentrations.
- A few minor changes aimed to reduce weight was made. One was to enlarge the holes above the conrods small ends.

The loading cases can be seen in Table 3.5 and the explicit boundary conditions can be found in Appendix C.4.

| Case No. S | Speed [RPM] | CAD $[°]$ | $F_{y,pp}$ [N] | $F_{c-e}$ [N] | $a_z  [\mathrm{m/s^2}]$ |
|------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| 1          | 6000        | -360      | -82.54         | 4729          | -24406                  |
| 2          | 2100        | 31        | -3649          | -23619        | -2302                   |
| 3          | 5700        | 25        | -1853          | -27884        | -18669                  |
| 4          | 6000        | 110       | -4283          | -6437         | -10985                  |

Table 3.5: Loading cases for GB-piston FE-analysis

In this iteration the design began to reach saturation, hence more loading cases were tested to verify the most critical ones regarding stress in the piston. Due to the immense computational cost it would take to analyse the whole 720° cycle it was chosen to only implement a few loading condition representing the highest loads. No. 1 and 4 provides the highest tension and compressive inertia loads. No. 4 also provides a high lateral force. No. 2 and 3 provides two different combustion loads. No. 2 provides the highest compressive load experienced at the piston pins, while No. 3 provides the highest compressive load on the crown. Possibly could one more loading condition have been added where the lateral force is highest, but due to time limits it was not.

Due to the new interface, with a flat surface on the crossheads, a problem occured with the contacts. To reduce active nodes on the contact surface, the profile of the crosshead interface on the piston was imprinted to the crosshead interface and used as a boundary.

## 3.5.3 Iteration 3 - GD

The final iteration was conducted on the GD-piston. To improve model accuracy it was chosen to include the conrods in the FE-model. Earlier the piston pins had been constrained with a remote displacement, allowing them to rotate together with the piston when it bends. By adding the conrods their bending stiffness would be accounted for and the pin behaviour would become more accurate. To prevent the conrods from reacting to the global acceleration, the density was set to infinitely small and instead the computed conrod inertia forces was applied to the conrod small ends as a remote force boundary condition. The earlier reaction force  $F_{y,pp}$  was replaced by conrod inertia force  $F_{y,pp,rod}$ . By constraining the conrod bearing to a point, the conrod acts as a lever and when loading the piston the lateral forces will emerge depending of the conrod angle. Unfortunately, since the conrod angle varies during the engine cycle different geometries had to be imported for each loading

case. Same loading conditions as iteration 2 were implemented except a change in engine speed for No. 3. However, due to changes in mass the forces were recomputed. It was also chosen to reduce throttle/ cylinder pressure to 75%, where the earlier iterations have been conducted using 100% cylinder pressure. The new loading cases can be seen in Table 3.6, and boundary conditions can be found in Appendix C.5. For this iteration it was chosen to change material of the crossheads to Alumec 89, Appendix B, which has higher strength and is a more likely material for future manufacturing rather than the cast aluminium M244.

| Case No. | Speed [RPM] | CAD [°] | $F_{y,pp,rod}$ [N] | $F_{c-e}$ [N] | $a_z  [\mathrm{m/s^2}]$ |
|----------|-------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| 1        | 6000        | -360    | 22.1               | 4703.2        | -24406                  |
| 2        | 2100        | 31      | -101               | -17605        | -2301.6                 |
| 3        | 6000        | 25      | -708               | -19403        | -20689                  |
| 4        | 6000        | 110     | 5.48               | -5397.9       | -10985                  |

Table 3.6: Loading cases for GD-piston FE-analysis

## 4 Engine Development

The DROE piston concept can offer even more than increased performance in terms of load and speed. Not only can it provide better optical access to the combustion chamber but also be more user friendly regarding manufacturing, assembly and disassembly. This chapter describes the engine concept which serves to demonstrate the DROE piston's possibility to improve the optical engine as a research tool.

## 4.1 Requirements and Goals

Several requirements and goals were set up for the engine design. These requirements are listed and explained in the sections below.

- Optical access through piston head and cylinder liner.
- Compatible interfaces to conventional test rig equipment.
- Design for maintenance and cleaning.
- Fully balanced.
- Design for assembly, disassembly and manufacturing.

#### Optical access through piston head and cylinder liner

Different types of measurement techniques require different optical access. Most methods require optical access from both the cylinder liner and piston crown. Optical parts are brittle and sensitive to heat gradients and vibrations. They also affect the combustion process in different ways compared to the metal parts of a real engine. The cost, risk of failure and deviation from a real engine is hence increased as more components are made from transparent material and it is therefore desirable to minimize the number of optical components. This can be done by having a modular cylinder assembly with easily replaced parts and only use the optical access which is needed. For this thesis however, it is desirable to maximize the optical access in order to demonstrate the capabilities and range of the engine concept.

#### Compatible interfaces to conventional test rig equipment

A new test rig is a major investment for any engine researcher but the engine itself is just a part of this cost. Equipment for emission measurement, fuel systems, engine dynamometer, air supply, cooling and temperature measurement are examples of test rig equipment which exist in any engine lab. By designing the first DROE to work with existing test rig equipment from the start it will be easier to install a prototype in an existing cell and validate the design in the future.

#### Design for maintenance and cleaning

Since the optical engine has transparent parts in contact with the combustion, and these parts optical capabilities are of great importance when running tests, they need to be cleaned regularly. This task is one of the most time consuming when a researcher is performing experiments. It is therefore common to have some sort of system to quickly open the engine without the need to disassemble it. This is either done by lowering the top cylinder or by lifting the cylinder head. The elongated part of a Bowditch piston often allows for the cylinder to be lowered but the relatively short dual rod piston requires the cylinder head to be lifted or removed.

#### Fully balanced

The optical elements of a test rig are all sensitive to vibrations. Cameras and lasers can be mounted separately from the engine itself but it is still important for the object of study, the combustion chamber, to be relatively free of vibrations. In order for a single cylinder engine to run without vibrations it needs to be balanced. The most common way to balance the engine forces is with balance shafts, which are separate rotating shafts with offset center of mass timed to cancel out the forces from the engines reciprocating masses.

#### Design for disassembly and manufacturing

A research engine will be subject to many modifications and adjustments during its lifetime. It is therefore important to have disassembly and manufacturing in mind when designing it [Ull03]. It is far too common with equipment which is either too complex by nature or too complex by design to repair or manufacture in smaller machine shops. It is desirable for the DROE to be designed in such a way as to allow for some repairs and modifications by the end user if they so choose to.

## 4.2 Design Hierarchy

The dual rod piston is the central piece of the concept and directly determines the possible performance of the engine. It is important that other components does not limit its degrees of freedom regarding design. To avoid conflicting components and thus time loss, a design hierarchy was used. Another very important aspect of the engine is the optical access. This is affected by the design of the piston, cylinder and to some extent, the crank shaft. The crank case is important since it is the foundation of the engine onto which all other components are mounted and the balance drive minimizes engine vibrations. In Figure 4.1 below, the hierarchy of the most important components is presented in the form of a pyramid.



Figure 4.1: Design hierarchy

## 4.3 Limitations

Only parts which were affected by the new dual rod piston directly or by the requirements and goals were designed from scratch. Some designs were borrowed from current research engines just to serve as a visual reference in the CAD model and some were customized to work together with the new components. The limitations of the engine design are:

- The engine design will not represent an engine ready for manufacturing.
- Engine parameters will be based on the Volvo VEP. Presented in Table 3.1.

- No strength calculations will be done for the transparent parts.
- Stiffness calculations will only be done to critical components with unproven design.
- Design for disassembly and manufacturing will only be evaluated based on previous experience.

#### The engine design will not represent an engine ready for manufacturing

Since there is a certain time limit, an engine concept ready for production is difficult to achieve, especially considering that most of the parts are unproven designs. Focus will instead be put on achieving a base design which explores all major design difficulties.

#### Engine parameters based on the Volvo VEP

By using interfaces from an existing engine in production it is possible to re-use some existing parts. Since optical engines most often are used by engine manufacturers or researchers in cooperation with an engine manufacturer to improve engine design, parts for research engines are often available. Because of existing contacts at Volvo, the engine will be based on the Volvo VEP.

#### No strength calculations will be done for the transparent parts

The transparent parts of an optical engine are exposed to many different stresses due to temperature and pressure fluctuations. The temperature difference of the inside and outside of the cylinder is considerable and causes thermal stresses in the material. The different thermal expansion rates of the transparent material and its surrounding parts are very different and also causes stresses to the material. The work of determining all stresses and dimensioning the transparent parts are hence complicated. The transparent parts are therefore excluded from the design process in this thesis. However, the transparent components will eventually need dimensioning and validation. Parts of similar dimensions as are already in use will however be included in the model.

#### Design for disassembly and manufacturing will only be evaluated based on previous experience

Determining and grading a design in respect to disassembly and manufacturing can be very time consuming. Especially when the design includes as many components as there are in an engine. Because of the significant manufacturing experience of the students in this project it is deemed accurate enough to examine the design from a manufacturing and assembly point of view and to eliminate unnecessary complexity based on experience.

## 4.4 Concept Generation

In order to implement the dual rod piston in an optical engine and fully utilize its advantages, the possible ways of implementation needed to be defined and evaluated. After several brainstorming meetings with involved parties, three different base concepts were constructed with CAD.

- DRSC (Dual Rod Single Crank)
- DRDC-W (Dual Rod Dual Crank Wet)
- DRDC-D (Dual Rod Dual Crank Dry)



Figure 4.2: The three base concepts: DRSC, DRDC-W, DRDC-D in order from left to right

## 4.4.1 DRSC, Dual Rod Single Crank

The dual rod single crank utilizes a conventional crankshaft with hydrodynamic bearings. This requires the optical access through the piston to be sealed from oil contamination with a viewing tube. The single crankshaft is torsionally stiff and provides good alignment of the conrods.

The optical access through the piston, or rather the diameter of the viewing tube, is highly dependent on the offset of the conrods. As can be seen in Figure 4.2 the crank web and counterweight widths limits the diameter of the viewing tube even more. The conrod length does not necessarily limit the optical access but since the vertical placement of the tube is limited by the main journal of the crank and the conrod length is the same as for the Volvo VEP, a pocket in the lower skirt of the piston is needed to avoid collision with the tube. This pocket is located at a disadvantageous place regarding bending stiffness between the piston pins.

## 4.4.2 DRDC-W, Dual Rod Dual Crank - Wet

In common with DRSC, the DRDC-W is also supported with hydrodynamic bearings. The dual crankshafts provides the most flexible optical access through the piston. Due to the divided crankshaft a camera can theoretically be placed vertically and allow for recording through the piston without using a mirror. The single sided counterweights does not interfere with the viewing tube and therefore the conrods can be more centered compared to the DRSC, as can be seen in Figure 4.2. This decreases the bending moment on the piston pin axis. The absence of the crankshafts main journal also allows for a more independent piston design.

The divided crankshaft is however sensitive to misalignment and another shaft for synchronization is required to ensure that the piston is not subjected to excessive rotational forces.

## 4.4.3 DRDC-D, Dual Rod Dual Crank - Dry

Unlike the other concepts the dual crank-dry uses roller bearings. Without oil inside the crank case there is no need for a viewing tube to seal from oil. The case can be open except for the part covering the synchronizing gears which still require lubrication.

The open crank case will however gather more dirt and dust which will end up obscuring the optical parts. The piston also needs to run dry and hence wear will increase. There is also no possibility to get oil to the combustion in order to better mimic conditions in a production engine and study oil related combustion phenomena.

## 4.4.4 Base concept

After analyzing the concepts strengths and weaknesses and grading them, the concept with the most potential was chosen for further development. See Appendix F for the complete grading matrix.

DRDC-W was the concept with the highest potential. Mostly due to the combination of lubrication properties and high flexibility in piston design. An initial design was constructed to serve as a archetype for several design iterations. The concept is shown in Figure 4.3



Figure 4.3: The base concept of DRDC-W in three views with varying parts hidden

## 4.5 Component Design

The engine components were designed to meet the engine requirements in subsection 4.1. Many of the components were regarded as non critical regarding strength and therefore no major strength calculations were done. An iterative design approach was taken as to ensure compatibility between the parts as well as drive the creative process for idea generation. As for dimensioning hydrodynamic bearings and gearwheels, it was deemed too time consuming and reasonable assumptions were made based on existing applications of bearings and transmissions under similar conditions.

## 4.5.1 Iterative Design and Parametrized Models

An iterative design approach was taken when designing the parts. By designing and re-designing the engine concept in iterations, problems and difficult elements can become more visible. Each iteration is scrutinized, examined and evaluated. Its strengths are carried to the next iteration and its faults corrected.

By using parametrized cad models, the process of updating certain parameters can be simplified. Since the iterations of the piston design and engine design were created in parallel, parametrized models could ensure that critical interface errors were kept to a minimum.

The design process of a few of the engine components will be discussed in a more detail in the following sections.

## 4.6 Engine Iteration 1

The fist iteration of the engine design was similar in many ways to the base concept. Focus was mainly on the layout and placement of the shafts and the integration with balance shafts. This iteration never reached a high enough maturity to complete an engine design assembly since issues were discovered early on.

## 4.6.1 Crank Case

The first case iteration incorporated the balance shafts in the synchronization gear drive. The design can be seen to the left in Figure 4.8. Crank shafts, balance shafts, gears and the sync shaft were all built into separate modules which could be fastened in the crank case. It was noted early on that these modules could become too heavy and complex to meet the requirements for simplified manufacturing and disassembly and the concept was abandoned for a better design opportunity.

## 4.6.2 Crankshafts and Synchronization

This design also used tapered roller bearings for all shafts. Since the crank shafts and sync shaft needed to be of a certain diameter to ensure high bending stiffness, and the reference speed of bearings are highly dependent on the diameter, the bearings required for these shafts could not meet the speed requirement [SKF12] of 6000 RPM in their current state.

## 4.6.3 Cylinder

The cylinder is one of the most important parts of any optical engine. It needs to provide sufficient optical access and also support the cylinder head and the combustion pressures.

The cylinder for the DROE is divided into two main parts with a base part mounted to the crank case. The base contains the guides for the piston crossheads, which are unique for the DROE. The crossheads can be seen on the piston in Figure 3.9. The top tart of the cylinder is where the combustion takes place. It needs to be separable from the base to enable cleaning of the transparent surfaces and replacement of the piston rings without disassembling the engine. The top cylinder also contains the transparent cylinder liner for optical access. It is shown in Figure 4.4 at its maximum size. The transparent cylinder liner needs to be clamped in place between the cylinder base and cylinder head. Due to the different heat expansion rate between the transparent material and its surrounding metal components, all contact areas must be sealed with gaskets made from a softer material as to prevent stresses and cracks in the liner.



Figure 4.4: First iteration cylinder assembly, 3/4 isometric section view

## 4.7 Engine Iteration 2

This iteration saw a higher degree of maturity and could therefore be equipped with more parts such as; cam drive, flywheel, viewing tube and cylinder.


Figure 4.5: Second engine iteration

#### 4.7.1 Crank Case

The second iteration of the crank case excluded the balance shafts which would be added in a separate module. Instead of tapered roller bearings it was designed for hydrodynamic bearings similar to a conventional automobile engine with bearing caps and split bearings. Since hydrodynamic bearings require less radial space compared to roller bearings, the shafts could be placed closer together and the case could be made more compact.

To increase the flexibility of the optical access, the sync shaft was raised in an angle behind the crank axis. This allowed for optical access through the viewing tube from both sides of the case. See the second case in Figure 4.8

A cover-plate would be mounted on top of the case and contain the cylinder base. This was not completed before iteration 3.

#### 4.7.2 Crankshafts and Synchronization

Each crank shaft has two seats for the main hydrodynamic bearings as well as a seat for the conrod bearing at the crank pin. The main bearings are lubricated from channels in the crank case through the bearing cap. The conrod bearing is lubricated from within the crankshaft through a channel connecting the inner main bearing and the conrod bearing. The synchronization gear is located between the two main bearings in order to decrease bending moments to the shaft. One of the crankshaft halves also provide mounting for the cam belt pulley, as can be seen in Figure 4.6.



Figure 4.6: The crank shafts with gear connection to the sync shaft

It is essential that the synchronization gears have low play in between them. Play would result in an increased risk of misalignment, wear and noise. The gears are helical, which run smoother and quieter compared to straight cut gears and the two sides are opposed as to cancel out the thrust forces caused by the angle of the teeth. Helical gears entails higher friction compared to straight cut gears but as mentioned in chapter 2, the rotational friction is not an issue in motored test engines.

### 4.8 Engine Iteration 3

The third iteration reached a degree of maturity which enabled it to be fitted with a redesigned cylinder, cylinder head, lift system and cam drive. An overview of the engine can be seen in Figure 4.7.



Figure 4.7: The third engine iteration

#### 4.8.1 Crank Case

The third iteration had a similar shaft setup as iteration 2 but with a shared split plane for both crank main bearings and synchronization shaft bearings. The slightly taller case provided more space for optical access. The shared split plane of the crank and the synchronization shaft meant the crank case could be split in two which would allow simple and fast assembly of the shafts. This split plane could however prove to be difficult to seal from oil leakage. Case iterations 1-3 are shown in Figure 4.8.



Figure 4.8: The first three iterations of the crank case.

#### 4.8.2 Cylinder

The cylinder base was designed with a split plane to enable simpler manufacturing of the high tolerance guide rails. The two halves would be joined and in turn fastened to the crank case. A sealing plate designed to seal around the outside of the piston was also fitted to the base. The lift plate had mounts for the lift system and the top cylinder. In Figure 4.9, a cylinder with a full glass liner is shown in its open state and a cylinder with only a glass segment is shown as closed.



Figure 4.9: Full glass liner (open) and glass segment (closed), 1/2 section view

#### 4.8.3 Lift System

A lift system for the cylinder and cylinder head was needed in order to quickly access the piston crown and cylinder liner for cleaning and maintenance. The functionality of the lift system is demonstrated in Figure 4.10 and shows the lift plate, lift cylinders, lift pistons and motorized lead screws. The top combustion cylinder would be mounted on the lift plate and driven up and down with motorized lead screws. The lift cylinders would be mounted on the back of the crank as as to not obscure the optical access. The arrangement of sturdy sliding pistons would ensure a stable lift. This is of importance as the transparent components of the cylinder liner and piston are sensitive to excessive stresses. It was deemed sufficient with two lifters if the components could be made stable enough. This was also double checked with an analysis of the deformation under stress. It proved to be a viable option for lifting the cylinder and cylinder head.



Figure 4.10: The lift system as seen from the back. The image shows closed and opened as well as a single piston with a motorized lead screw

#### 4.8.4 Cylinder Head

A Volvo VEP single cylinder head was used in the CAD-model, it can be seen in Figure 4.11. The head was provided as a STEP file from Volvo Cars. After studying an existing research engine in the engine lab at the department of combustion at Chalmers, some additional components were created in CAD and added to the model to serve as a reference to surrounding parts and for visual support.



Figure 4.11: The cylinder head

#### 4.8.5 Cam Drive

Cam drive is regarded in this thesis as the connection between the crank shaft and the cam shafts. Since the correct timing of the cams in relation to the crank is very important, a conventional design with a timing belt and adjustable pulleys was adopted and modified to work with the requirements for the DROE.

The major design change was due to the fact that the cylinder and cylinder head will be lifted with a lift system. This presents some issues since a regular timing belt is connected between the crank shaft and cam shafts. By lifting the cylinder and cylinder head the distance between these shafts changes so a system was created with separable camshafts.

### 4.9 Engine Iteration 4

After exploring many different solutions in previous iterations and finalizing the piston design a fourth engine concept could be generated. A new possible arrangement for the crank and synchronization shaft was discovered and used. The fourth engine iteration was to be the last within this thesis. It reached a high degree of maturity but still requires work to be ready for the prototype stage. A 1/2 scale 3D printed model of the fourth iteration was created for demonstration purposes.

#### 4.9.1 Shaft Layout

The design of the crankshafts was very similar throughout the design iterations of the engine concept but a new layout was discovered at this stage. Since the flywheel and also the engine brake would be fastened directly to the synchronization shaft, the torsion and bending which might arise from this could become a problem with the previous setup.

By using both hydrodynamic bearings and roller bearings for different shafts all requirements for speed and ease of assembly could be met and a more robust design achieved. The connection to flywheel and brake was moved to a separate shaft in order to remove this added strain on the synchronization shaft. The synchronization shaft could also be placed directly beneath the crank shaft axis which proved to be an improvement from the previous setup. The balance shafts could then be connected directly to the synchronization shaft gearwheel in a setup which was not possible before. The shaft layout can be seen in Figure 4.12.



Figure 4.12: Iteration 4 shaft layout

#### 4.9.2 Crank Case

After rearranging crank shafts and synchronization shaft it was possible to once again integrate the balance drive in the geared synchronization transmission. This allowed for a more compact engine block, as can be seen in Figure 4.13. The case still needed a split plane to be able to mount the crank shafts but a split synchronization shaft and detachable outer bearing seats meant all other shafts could be mounted axially in the case. The case was also fitted with an oil pan and legs for mounting in an engine rig.



Figure 4.13: Open crank case

#### 4.9.3 Cylinder and Lift System

A new cylinder was designed for the latest crank case. A method for adjusting cylinder offset was implemented simply by sliding the cylinder base plate in the y-direction. The sliding motion was guided by four slots in the top of the case cover plate and corresponding slots in the bottom of the cylinder base plate. Because of the adjustable cylinder offset, the cam plate also had to be mounted to the cylinder base plate in order for the cam shaft extensions to line up with the cam shafts on the cylinder head. A half section view of the cylinder assembly is shown in Figure 4.14.

A new solution for the lift system was discovered while trying to place motorized lifters on the side of the case similar to iteration 3. The new solution does not require specially built in lifters as it utilizes a crane system externally mounted in the engine cell. Such a crane would normally be mounted in the cell in order to reorder heavy equipment or assemble engines. An external crane, unbound by the restrictions of an engine mounted lift system could also be constructed from more standardized parts and hence lower the cost.



Figure 4.14: Cylinder in isometric 1/2 section view

Lifting the cylinder using an external crane meant that the cylinder only needed to be guided in its lift. Four guide pillars were mounted to the cylinder base plate and placed in each corner as to not obscure the optical access. Sliding cylinders mounted on the top cylinder plate would act as stabilizers. By extending the lift pillars up and sliding cylinders down, a 200 mm stroke could be achieved.

#### 4.9.4 Balance Shafts

The rotating and oscillating motion of the crank-piston assembly causes an engine to vibrate. Since the operation of optical engines in most cases involves using a camera to record in-cylinder events it is of great importance to cancel these vibrations.

The rotating mass of the crank pin and a part of the conrod gives rise to the rotating force. A study of the movement of the conrod's centre of mass is necessary to accurately calculate this force but it is often assumed that 2/3 of the conrod mass is rotating and 1/3 is oscillating [Sve09].

The angle of the conrod causes the piston to have varying speed during a revolution of the engine. The distance traveled by the piston between -90 CAD and 90 CAD is longer than between 90 CAD and 270 CAD and thus the deceleration and acceleration close to TDC and BDC are different. The equation for the oscillating forces of the crank-piston assembly can be derived from the piston motion as:

$$F_{osc} = -m_{osc} \cdot a \cdot \omega^2 \left( \cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{R} \cdot \cos(2\theta) - \frac{1}{4 \cdot R^3} \cdot \cos(4\theta) \right)$$
(4.1)

As can be seen from equation 4.1, the oscillating force is divided into terms of different order. The total force as well as the different orders can be seen in Figure 4.15



Figure 4.15: Ocillating forces at 6000 RPM

The first order force is balanced out using two counter rotating masses with offset center of gravity. The counter rotation results in a force resultant acting only vertically since the shafts cancel each other out in every other direction. The second order force is of lesser amplitude but the period is half that of the first order. This force is balanced out by another pair of counter rotating balance shafts at twice the engine speed. The fourth order force is often of very low amplitude and can therefore be discarded. The four balance shafts are shown in Figure 4.12.

# 5 Results

In this chapter the results from the work is presented. First the piston results will be presented and second the engine design.

### 5.1 Benchmark

The conducted benchmark studies showed that the chosen Bowditch piston could sustain an engine speed of approximately 2700 RPM. The limiting elements were found to be the screw connection between extension and bottom piston, and the bottom piston itself. Even though quite low pre-tension was applied to the screws, the stresses in the threaded holes in the lower piston became high which gave a safety factor as low as 1.17 against yielding, as can be seen in Figure 5.1a. The area of the piston below the piston pin was showing high stresses as well at TDC, indicating a possible tear off of the whole piston from the pin, as can be seen in Figure 5.1b.



Figure 5.1: Safety factor against yielding, 2700 RPM at -360 CAD

Since the bottom piston was not verified to be the correct one to be used with the Bowditch piston extension, these results may not be completely accurate. Taking this into account, the high stresses found at 2700 RPM was accepted since the possibility was that the Bowditch piston could have been stronger with another bottom piston. On the other hand, the extension was made around 400 g lighter with the fitting of the DROE crown. Comments by OE operators suggested that a speed of 2000-2500 RPM are considered the maximum speed of the Bowditch piston.

When it came to compressive stresses by BDC acceleration, 2700 RPM at -131 CAD, the piston showed no sign of high stresses. When applying maximum pressure at 2100 RPM and 31 CAD, the piston revealed quite high stresses through the whole extension and lower piston, as can be seen in Figure 5.2. Most concerning was two stress concentrations, one on each component. However, since the extension is modified and the bottom piston is not of the correct model these may be overseen.

Due to its design the Bowditch extension may be prone to buckling. To verify that this was not the case, the 2100 RPM 31 CAD loading condition was tested and showed a safety factor of at least 8 against buckling. It may then be concluded that the Benchmark set by the AVL piston is 2700 RPM on free run and a maximum of 2100 RPM and full throttle. It may be possible that 2700 RPM and full throttle work as well since the piston inertia counteract the pressure load, but it was not tested. This benchmark may be considered as an overestimation due to the fact that the piston revealed quite severe stresses. An overestimated Benchmark and potentially underestimated DROE performance contributes to a conservative comparison.



Figure 5.2: Safety factor against yielding, 16x deformation, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD

### 5.2 Stress Analysis of AA-piston

Four different loading cases were tested but it turned out to be sufficient to evaluate the two toughest ones, 6000 RPM at -360 CAD and 2100 RPM at 31 CAD. A speed of 6000 RPM is much to ask, since it means more than 4x the acceleration compared to the benchmark. The AA-piston did not perform well in any of the cases. The weak points were the fillets at the piston pins. For the compressive loading the safety factor was as low as 0.6, which means immediate yielding. The stresses can be seen in Figure 5.3. The stiffness was also noticed to be quite low and the piston body deformed undesirably.

Much work could be put into improving the AA-design, but transferring the load from piston to piston pin only on one end of the pin was not feasible. The pin has to be supported at both ends (each side of the conrod small end), or else the pin has to be increased to an immense diameter to withstand bending stresses. The AA-piston design was therefore immediately abandoned for the continuing B-series with separate pins and double support.



Figure 5.3: Safety factor against yielding, 16x deformation, bottom part of piston AA

### 5.3 Piston Design Iterations

The analysis of the AA-piston resulted in a completely new piston pin configuration, which ultimately led to the EC-piston, last piston before the FE-iterations. All details of the design updates for the various pistons can be seen in Appendix D. A comparison between BA and EC can be seen in Figure 5.4.



Figure 5.4: Comparison between BA and EC, isometric view

The key features implemented to the EC-piston are:

- Wedgeshaped conrod cases, allowing rocking motion of the conrods.
- Reinforcement ribs between conrod cases and the piston body, two upper and two lower on each case.
- Reinforcement ribs inside the piston body.
- Crossheads screwed to the side of each conrod case.
- Inner seal ring placed above piston pin bores.
- Double threaded connection between the titanium crown and piston body.
- Smooth outside surface of the piston body, allowing for a stationary outside seal ring to isolate the cylinder from oil.

The wedge shaped cases were found to be the most efficient way to enclose the conrod small ends and pins. Together with the ribs they were found to increase stiffness compared to older options. An overview chart of the various piston properties can be seen in Table 5.1. A non before mentioned piston model, GF metal, is included in the table and will be covered later. The GF metal was introduced after the FE-iterations. The GD-piston, later covered in the FE-iterations, is also included in the stiffness comparison. The results from the stiffness computations can be seen in Table 5.2.

| Piston                 | Total<br>mass | Compressi-<br>on height | Crown<br>type          | Crown<br>mass | Window<br>thickness | Inner<br>seal | Cross-<br>head | Outer<br>sealing |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
|                        | [g]           | [mm]                    |                        | [g]           | [mm]                |               |                |                  |
| AVL                    | 2303          | 367                     | UP3                    | -             | -                   | -             | -              | -                |
| AVL mod.               | 1853          | 287                     | $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}$ | 413           | 20                  | -             | -              | -                |
| AA                     | 1492          |                         |                        |               |                     |               | Yes            |                  |
| BA                     | 1402          |                         |                        |               |                     |               |                |                  |
| BB                     | 1398          | 150                     | AA                     | 288           |                     | Yes           |                | No               |
| BC                     | 1407          |                         |                        |               | 10                  |               |                |                  |
| BD                     | 1505          |                         |                        |               |                     |               | No             |                  |
| DA                     | 1594          |                         | DA                     | 200           |                     |               | INO            |                  |
| DB                     | 1790          |                         | DA                     | 200           |                     | No            |                |                  |
| DD                     | 1691          |                         | DD                     | 415           |                     | no            |                |                  |
| $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}$ | 1593          | 180                     |                        |               | •                   |               |                | Yes              |
| $\mathbf{EB}$          | 1701          |                         | EA                     | 413           | 20                  |               |                |                  |
| $\mathbf{EC}$          | 1694          |                         |                        |               | 20                  | Var           | Var            |                  |
| $\operatorname{GD}$    | 1687          |                         | GD                     | 387           | •                   | res           | res            |                  |
| GF metal               | 1808          | 160                     | GF                     | 331           | •                   |               |                | No               |

#### Table 5.1: Piston Specifications

| Piston                 | Total<br>mass | $F_{down}$ | $F_{up}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Stiffness} \\ K_{down} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Stiffness} \\ K_{up} \end{array}$ |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|----------|---|---|
|                        | [g]           | [kN]       | [kN]     | [kN/mm]   | [kN/mm]   |
| AVL                    | 2303          | -          | -        | -   | -   |
| AVL mod.               | 1853          | -88.2      | 35.5     | 176   | 70.9  |
| AA                     | 1592          | -90.3      | 90.3     | 181   | 181   |
| BA                     | 1402          | -83.7      | 82.2     | 167   | 164   |
| BB                     | 1398          | -95.5      | 94.5     | 191   | 189   |
| BC                     | 1407          | -88.1      | 86.4     | 176   | 173   |
| BD                     | 1505          | -97.5      | 63.4     | 195   | 127   |
| DA                     | 1594          | -102       | 99.2     | 205   | 198   |
| DB                     | 1790          | -123       | 118      | 246   | 236   |
| DD                     | 1691          | -127       | 121      | 254   | 243   |
| $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}$ | 1593          | -135       | 128      | 270   | 257   |
| $\mathbf{EB}$          | 1701          | -132       | 126      | 264   | 251   |
| $\mathbf{EC}$          | 1694          | -132       | 126      | 264   | 251   |
| $\operatorname{GD}$    | 1687          | -136       | 129      | 272   | 258   |
| GF metal               | 1808          | -178       | 167      | 357   | 333   |

Table 5.2: Piston Stiffness

As could be seen in Table 5.2 the DROE pistons have a much stiffer design than the Bowditch piston, especially in tension  $(K_{up})$  where the stiffness increase was 250%. Compressive stiffness increased by 50%. Compared to the AA-piston, the EC-piston increased the stiffness by approximately 44%, while the mass only increased by 6.4%.

From the D-series the crown was fitted with a 20 mm thick window since it was discovered that a 10 mm window would be to weak. The pistons have been gaining weight throughout the development. Much effort was put into removing obsolete goods from the piston and add reinforcement to critical areas. However, manufacturing had to be considered which limited the choice of geometry and weight loss in some areas. After adding crossheads the mass of E-series piston reached 1700 g, 150 g lighter than the modified AVL. Even though the mass would be the same, the DROE E-series would withstand at least the double load due to the dual rod configuration. Since the DROE piston is a solid piece of steel, lacking the weak screw connection and aluminium bottom-piston of the Bowditch, the structural strength would become even higher.

### 5.4 FE-iterations of the Piston

The FE-iteration work began by analysing the EB-piston since it was the first fitted with crossheads. The changes were implemented and the iterations continued with GB and GD.

#### 5.4.1 Iteration 1 - EB

The EB-piston was tested for two loading cases, Table 3.4, maximum tension and compression. The deformation for load case 1 can be seen in Figure 5.5. The deformation for load case 2 can be seen in Figure 5.6.

The deformation modes seen in Figure 5.5 and Figure 5.6 are the two typhical ones for the DROE piston design. The two inner reinforcement ribs were implemented to reduce the particular deformation seen in Figure 5.5b and Figure 5.6b. The bending of the lower part of the piston is most likely the largest contributor to stiffness reduction and it was proven difficult to remove completely, but by mentioned reinforcements the deformation could be reduced without adding a substantial amount of weight.



Figure 5.5: *EB-piston*, 6000 RPM at -360 CAD, 110x deformation scale



Figure 5.6: EB-piston, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD, 56x deformation scale

Next the stress was analysed. For loading case 1 the piston showed surprisingly low stresses, even though the speed was set to 6000 RPM, a speed which results in very high accelerations, more than four times the benchmark. A local stress concentration was found, not related to the external loading, which was caused by the screw connection as can be seen in Figure 5.7. The spring contacts was set to rigid, which was thought to be the cause of this stress concentration. The springs was later changed to deformable which reduced the stress.

At load case 2 the piston showed much higher stresses. A special observation was made at the lower screw holes for the crosshead interface, where at the inside of the conrod case a stress concentration occured, as can be seen in Figure 5.8c. For this reason, the lower screw holes had to be moved. As can be seen in Figure 5.8a, the material around the upper hole of the conrod case was subjected to low stresses which allowed for an increase in hole diameter to reduce mass. In same picture, the quite advanced interface geometry can be seen.



Figure 5.7: EB-piston, 6000 RPM at -360 CAD, safety factor to yielding

For later versions, the interface geometry was simplified. In Figure 5.8b, the stress distribution inside the piston body can be seen. The fillet below the top crown connection was subjected to high stresses, as were the whole piston body tube.



Figure 5.8: *EB-piston*, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD, safety factor to yielding

#### 5.4.2 Iteration 2 - GB

Pictures of the various G-series pistons can be seen in Appendix E. Following changes was implemented to the GB-piston:

- Crosshead interface was updated to a simpler design.
- Lower screw holes were moved to prevent the earlier stress concentration.
- $\bullet\,$  Inner diameter of the crown interface was increased from  $65\,\mathrm{mm}$  to  $67\,\mathrm{mm}.$
- Fillet between piston body and crown interface/ connection was increased to reduce stress.
- For modelling purpose the spring elements were changed from rigid to deformable.

A comparison between the EB- and GB-interface can be seen in Figure 5.9. The increased hole size may also be seen in Figure 5.9b.



Figure 5.9: Comparison of crosshead interface, isometric view

Four loading cases was implemented on the GB-piston. The first, TDC at 6000 RPM resulted in a promising result where the safety factor to yielding had increased to 2.3. Once again, the highest stress was located at a screw hole, as can be seen in Figure 5.10. The target of a safety factor 2 was now reached for loading case 1. Theoretically this indicated that the DROE piston experienced the same stress levels at 6000 RPM as the Benchmark did at 2700 RPM.



Figure 5.10: GB-piston, 6000 RPM at -360 CAD, safety factor to yielding

Second the compression was tested at 2100 RPM. This loading condition turned out to be a tough one. Even though reinforcements were implemented the stress stayed at high levels as did the EB-piston, with a safety factor as low as 1.3. The stress distribution can be seen in Figure 5.11. Third, high speed high pressure was

tested. Even in this case the piston showed alarmingly high stress levels with a safety factor of 1.1 appearing at the thread root fillet, as can be seen in Figure 5.12. Loading case 2 and 3 imposed a need for changes to receive a safety factor above 2. Either would the piston had to be reinforced, causing the mass to increase and possibly forcing a decrease in speed, or decrease cylinder pressure and keep the current mass level.

Last, load case 4 was tested mainly to confirm that the crossheads would manage the lateral loading. However, the simulation resulted in an undesirable outcome. The stress distribution can be seen in Figure 5.13. A safety factor of 1.3 was received on the crosshead. This was probably caused by a faulty boundary condition. A force was applied to the slide surface of the crosshead, causing uneven deformation. During operation, the crosshead should slide against a smooth surface. A remote force, locking all nodes at the boundary to a single point, would probably have produced a more desirable result.



Figure 5.11: *GB-piston*, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD, safety factor to yielding



Figure 5.12: GB-piston, 5700 RPM at 25 CAD, safety factor to yielding



Figure 5.13: GB-piston crosshead, 6000 RPM at 110 CAD, safety factor to yielding

#### 5.4.3 Iteration 3 - GD

The final FE-iteration was conducted on the GD-piston. In this simulation, the conrod was added to receive a result which corresponds better to real conditions. The conrod would both eliminate uncertainty regarding the boundary conditions on the piston pin, and it would eliminate the need for a lateral force applied to the crosshead which was causing errors in iteration 2. The decision was taken to reduce cylinder pressure to 75% due to the high stress levels received in iteration 2. Since the Bowditch should cope with quite high cylinder pressures but only moderate speeds, it appeared more interesting to keep the high speed capability of the DROE piston toghether with reduced pressure rather than high pressure and reduced speed. A few updates were implemented to the GD-piston prior to the FE-simulation:

- The crown interface inner diameter was decreased from 67 mm to 66 mm.
- The crown interface was fitted with guide pins to lock the crown in rotation and lateral motion when it is mounted.
- The piston pins received a locking device, keeping them locked to the pin bores.
- Axial thrust keys were fitted to the sides of the piston body.
- A few minor dimension changes was made at the lower part of the piston to reduce mass.
- Crosshead interface surface was changed slightly to reduce mass.

The piston pins, earlier not locked in position, was now fitted with a locking device. The device consists of a ball that is squeezed between the pins and crossheads inside a small hole. The ball, colored in red, can be seen in Figure 5.14.



Figure 5.14: GD-piston, piston pin locking device

Load case 1 was once again tested to confirm compatibility with the conrod and that the minor design changes had not weakened the structure. In this simulation, the maximum stress occurred in the pin bore, as can be seen in Figure 5.15. Minimum safety factor achieved was 2.2, which is still above the lower limit of 2. Hence the updated design and first simulation were considered a success.



Figure 5.15: GD-piston, 6000 RPM at -360 CAD, safety factor to yielding

Since stress may be sensitive to element size the mesh convergence was studied. The results from iteration 3 were also to be used as verification and comparison to the benchmark why accuracy and validity was of greater importance than previous iterations. A convergence criteria of 5% was implemented. For loading case 1 the final mesh refinement step resulted in a safety increase of 2.4%, as can be seen in Figure 5.16. The convergence was not uniform but due to the small changes it was considered sufficient enough.



Figure 5.16: Mesh convergence, piston body, 6000 RPM at -360 CAD

Second, the reduced maximum compression condition was tested. After the reduction of the cylinder pressure to 75% (approximately 68 Bar) the piston showed more promising results. Unfortunately a stress concentration occured at one of the crown interface pin holes, resulting in a minimum safety factor of 1.7, as can be seen in Figure 5.17a. However, this stress concentration may be overseen since it should not affect the load carrying capability of the piston. The rest of the piston remained above the limit of safety factor 2. The most sensitive region, inner radius of the crown interface, did provide a safety factor of 2.5, as can be seen in Figure 5.17c.



(c) Crown interface to piston body inner fillet Figure 5.17: GD-piston, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD, safety factor to yielding

Mesh convergence was once again checked, which turned out to be uniform and converged, as can be seen in Figure 5.18. Due to the increased safety factor and convergence, load case 2 was considered a success. Load case 3 resulted in very similar results as load case 2. Similar convergence and slightly reduced safety factors were obtained, but still exceeding the safety factor target of 2. The results from load case 3 will not be presented further.

Load case 4 was easily passed by the piston by a converged safety factor of 3.2. The integrity of the crosshead was examined using this load case, since it provides the largest lateral force. There were only minor design changes made to the GD crosshead compared to the GB but the material had been changed to Alumec 89, Appendix B, an alloy with much higher yield limit than the previous cast aluminium alloy. It turned out that the crosshead well exceeded the target by a safety factor of 5, as can be seen in Figure 5.19. The improved results compared to GB may also be explained by the change of boundary condition at the crosshead. While the conrod provides the lateral reaction force, the crosshead was constrained using a compression only support which better corresponds to a guide than the force based boundary condition used earlier.

The result converged using this load case as well, as can be seen in Figure 5.20. The crosshead could have been redesigned to reduce weight due to the low stress, but time did not permit further iterations.



Figure 5.18: Mesh convergence, piston body, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD



Figure 5.19: GD crosshead, 6000 RPM at 110 CAD, safety factor to yielding



Figure 5.20: Mesh convergence, crosshead,  $6000\,\mathrm{RPM}$  at  $110\,\mathrm{CAD}$ 

#### 5.4.4 GF-metal piston

Due to the design of the DROE, it is possible to provide the cylinder liner with lubrication like a standard engine. Lubrication will in turn permit the use of standard piston rings made of metal. If the DROE is fitted with a metal liner it can then be fitted with standard piston rings and proper ringland. This setup would allow for higher loads since the PTFE rings are sensitive to heat, the metal liner provides better cooling and the DROE piston can be made shorter with a standard ringland. A metal setup of the DROE engine would enable analysis of a phenomenon called super knocking, caused by oil interference in the combustion chamber. Since this setup is quite interesting and unique to optical engines, a GF-metal (GFM) piston was developed. The GFM-piston fitted with the metal setup can be seen in Figure 5.21.



Figure 5.21: *GF-metal piston* 

The GFM-piston was included in the stiffness comparison conducted in the design iteration, as could be seen in Table 5.2. The compression height was reduced to 160 mm, which can be put into perspective by comparing with the standard AVL piston which has a compression height of 367 mm. An attempt was made to increase the strength of the piston to, if possible, cope with full cylinder pressure. The GFM-piston tube had its inner diameter decreased from 78 mm to 76 mm, and conrod case rib thickness increased from 4 mm to 5 mm. The piston-crown interface, which in previous models had showed potential weakness, was redesigned to fit the new crown and was reinforced. This resulted in a mass increase of 7%, even though the piston became shorter and the crown lighter. The compression stiffness increased by 31% and tension stiffness increased by 29% as seen in Table 5.2.

An FE-simulation was made of the GFM-piston, using the same boundary conditions as the previous GD simulation, with the exception that the cylinder pressure was increased to 100% again. The reinforcements implemented served well since the piston delivered promising results. A local stress concentration occurred inside the conrod case, as can be seen in Figure 5.22c. The rest of the piston kept a safety factor of 2 or higher, as can be seen in Figure 5.22. For this analysis the crown was included in the model. Earlier crowns were made of titanium, whereas the metal ring crown for GFM-piston were aluminium. A safety factor of 2.1 was achieved, as can be seen in Figure 5.23.

Except the local stress concentration, the GFM-piston could withstand the full throttle load case. Unfortunately the simulation did not converge, where it for unknown reasons did not continue to a second refinement, as can be seen in Figure 5.24. Experience from earlier simulations tell that the stress should converge in the second refinement, but it can not be certain since the stress distribution appeared different for GFM.





Figure 5.22: GFM-piston, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD, safety factor to yielding



Figure 5.23: GFM crown, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD, safety factor to yielding



Figure 5.24: Mesh convergence, GFM-piston, 2100 RPM at 31 CAD

A safety factor of 2 in the crown may not be seen as sufficient since it is made of aluminium and would probably receive a very short fatigue life. The crown will also experience thermal loads when operated in an engine. Time did not permit further investigation of the crown design, nor the test of more load cases. Since the GFM-piston was made heavier it could result in a reduced high-speed performance.

### 5.5 The Dual Rod Optical Engine Concept

The engine design resulted in a concept which, although not ready for production, reached a high level of maturity. The entire design has been made to facilitate the DROE piston's higher performance capabilities and also to drastically increase user friendliness for the researcher.

The engine design is displayed in Figure 5.25. The engine's key features are listed below.

- Dual rod piston for increased load and speed range
- Quick lift cylinder and cylinder head for easy access and maintenance
- Balanced first and second order oscillating forces
- Optical access to combustion chamber from all sides and from below
- Spacious viewing tube through the crankcase for optical access from either side
- Compatible with Volvo VEP cylinder head
- Adjustable cylinder offset and squish height



Figure 5.25: The Dual Rod Optical Engine

The lift mechanism is demonstrated in Figure 5.26. Note how the cam shafts are split in order to lift the cylinder and cylinder head without detaching the cam belt.

In Figure 5.27 two half section views of the engine is shown. Some parts are highlighted with different colours. Note how the piston travels around the viewing tube.

Figure 5.28 shows the engine from all sides. The engine measures  $1110 \,\mathrm{mm}$  in height,  $461 \,\mathrm{mm}$  in width and  $532 \,\mathrm{mm}$  in depth.



(a) DROE

DE (b) DROE open Figure 5.26: Lift system



(a) 1/2 section view YZ-plane
 (b) 1/2 section view XZ-plane
 Figure 5.27: Half sections of entire engine



(a) Front view



(b) Right view





(c) Back view (d) Left view Figure 5.28: DROE in side views

# 6 Discussion

In this chapter the results are discussed and related to the aims of the project. The engine performance was successfully quantified using the chosen methods, considering implemented limitations. The design is still in an early stage of development and will need more work before put into production and tested physically, but the results received indicates that full engine speed and full pressure is within reach using the DROE design, provided that other limiting factors such as transparent components can be designed to withstand the added stress.

### 6.1 Piston

The DROE piston development had a long journey from the AA-concept to the GD version. Various alternatives were investigated and many designs tested were found to be dead ends, like C-series and F-series which were abandoned and not covered in this report. In the end a promising design was established showing great potential in stiffness and strength.

#### 6.1.1 Design

The goal was to find a suitable design providing as high stiffness and strength to weight ratio as possible. It was soon discovered that loose piston pins comprised by pin cases, reinforced by external ribs, gave the best results amongst the different options. Combined with a quite thin piston body tube, internally reinforced by ribs at the connection points to the external ribs gave a substantial stiffness increase compared to the Bowditch piston and the AA concept. This design was proven as the best option that could be established during the project.

#### 6.1.2 Performance

By conducting a FE-analysis of the piston, the performance could be determined. The stress levels was the measure that were used to determine the performance. A safety factor of 2 against yielding was chosen as the lower limit. The reduction of cylinder pressure to 75% combined with reinforcements at the final FE-iteration, resulted in that the GD-piston exceeded the safety factor 2 limit. On one hand, it is a promising result that the DROE piston may withstand speeds of 6000 RPM. However, some precautions must be taken. The piston is dynamically loaded and will be subject to fatigue. In fatigue terms, 50% of the yield limit will probably not provide a suitable fatigue life. A fatigue life computation is needed in the future if such high stresses are present. Only a few loading conditions were tested, where the conditions producing highest forces were chosen. To receive a more accurate stress analysis, the whole 720° engine cycle should be tested to find the highest stress amplitudes. Time and computational power did not permit a test of a whole engine cycle.

More factors that has been omitted are material impurity and surface finish. A manufactured component will not have a perfect surface, resulting in stress concentrations and higher stresses. This will have to be accounted for in the future. These problems can be minimised by putting high demands on the manufacturing. High finish is possible on this kind of pistons since they are only produced in very small numbers at high costs. A different choice of material would also be possible, which could double the safety factor quite easy. After treatment like hardening and shot peening would also be possible, depending on how much resources that are suitable and how high performance that is demanded. No matter how much force the piston can withstand, it all has to be absorbed by rods and crankshaft. The force absorption capability of conrods and crankshafts have been omitted in this thesis, and these will have to be investigated further to verify that the same speeds as the piston will manage is possible.

The benchmark was established at 2700 RPM and full pressure, even though these figures are an overestimation of the current benchmark piston performance. Unfortunately the DROE piston could not meet the full pressure condition, but only 75% to exceed safety factor 2. The decision was taken to focus on high speed instead. The 6000 RPM mark was passed for the DROE, which in conservative terms means an engine speed increase of over 100%. Later analyses conducted on the GFM-piston showed that full pressure could also be met with more work on the piston design.

Most work was put into iterating the design. After each completed loading case the design was updated and tested again. More loading cases, using various speeds, in the analysis of both benchmark and DROE would have been of interest to implement to try to receive a more specific comparison. It was however proven difficult to compare the two pistons types since they have very different design and elements limiting the performance. The screw connection in the Bowditch piston was the cause of many errors and limited the performance, rather than the structural stresses.

To conclude, taking the mentioned reservations into account, the load limit of the DROE piston was achieved at 6000 RPM and 75% cylinder pressure. A 100% speed increase and 25% pressure reduction was established, compared to the Benchmark.

#### 6.1.3 Piston Pin Problematics

A few special observation regarding the piston pins were made during the simulations which may cause problems to the design. The two piston pins are made much shorter than those of a standard piston. This means that the projected area becomes smaller and the contact pressure increases for a given load. The pin connection load transferring capability would therefore be reduced which contradicts the statement that dual rods means double the load. This problem will however be overseen for the following reasons:

- Rod small end width is basically the same as standard rods, which means that contact pressure in rod small end will be similar as a standard rod for a given load.
- The pin bore in the DROE piston is reamed through steel. Compared to a standard piston which normally is made of aluminium, the DROE piston can withstand higher loads in the connection between piston and pin.
- While piston pins are normally semi-floating, it is meant that the pin will be stationary in the DROE piston which would reduce wear in the pin bore.
- The DROE engine will not have the same service duration as a standard engine. Even though the wear would be greater than normal, it should not cause a problem. Components are replaced regularly to fit new engine configurations.

A second problem occurred, caused by the bending of the bottom of the piston. When the piston is loaded its lower section bends which introduces a rotation, or bending, to the piston pins, which are then transferred to the connecting rods. This bending may very well be the cause of conrod buckling and therefore failure, or at least it could result in fatal small end bearing wear. To minimize the bending, the conrods are to be kept as close to the center of the piston as possible. At the same time, the piston pins are to be kept as long as possible to minimize contact pressure, without interfering with the optical access inside the piston. The piston pin diameter may be increased to increase projected contact are, to reduce contact pressure, but only to a certain limit since the conrod small ends have to be enlarged as will the conrod housings at the piston sides. A possible solution to these problems would be to remove the piston pins and replace them with ball joints, as can be seen in Figure 6.1. The ball joint would provide a combination of large projected contact area at a low diameter, compared to the length of the piston pin. At the same time, the induced bending in the conrods caused by the piston pin connection, possibly causing them to buckle during high compressible loads, would be eliminated. The ball joint connection may seem as a controversial suggestion, but it has been tested by Honda on a standard piston configuration with promising results [Kaw+09]. Introduced to the DROE piston, it could simplify manufacturing, reduce weight and increase piston to conrod connection strength. Time did not permit further investigation.

#### 6.1.4 Other Limiting Elements

As stated before, the established performance figures comes with several assumptions. The most critical assumption is probably the transparent components and their ability to match the piston performance. More work will have to be put into the transparent parts if the DROE piston is to be used. The glass liner is probably the most sensitive component why the metal-ring piston configuration may be the most interesting to



Figure 6.1: Isometric view of HA-piston with ball joint conrods

implement. In a metal-ring engine, only the piston has a transparent portion while the liner is made of metal. This would eliminate the sensitive transparent liner, provide a much more realistic cooling and heat conductivity of the combustion chamber which could result in higher load capability. The piston window, which could be made of sapphire rather than quartz, would then become the limiting element. With this configuration, the DROE engine performance could come into use and could possibly be implemented in analyses of phenomenons like super knocking.

### 6.2 Engine

The engine development resulted in a concept which can make use of the benefits of the dual rod piston's higher performance and optical access. The engine design turned out well and it is believed to be a good platform for further work. Some difficulties and problems arose during the design which will be discussed in this section.

### 6.2.1 Shaft Layout Problematics

A reoccurring and troublesome design difficulty was the layout of the shafts. Many different layouts were investigated and the majority resulted in overly complicated setups which would have made assembly a big problem. Since so much effort was put into making the DROE concept easy to use this added complexity was unwanted and since the engine itself is quite complex and most of its parts are highly dependable on each other, it took some time before the concept could reach higher degrees of maturity.

To minimize the number of shafts in the system, the synchronization shaft was initially connected to the flywheel and brake. It was desirable to have the same rotational speed on the synchronization shaft and crank shafts in order to avoid having to deal with a gear ratio in every speed calculation. Upon realizing what unwanted effects connecting the synchronization shaft to the engine brake might cause, the power shaft was introduced. This additional shaft could be made short and stiff and made it possible to have a gear ratio

between crank and sync. With a bigger gearwheel on the synchronization shaft it could be placed directly underneath the crank axis without interfering with the optical access and also reach far enough to connect to the balance drive. The power shaft also had the added benefit of giving the engine output shaft the same rotational direction as the crank.

#### 6.2.2 Lift System Problematics

Having four guiding pillars for the lift system means there are some redundancy as only three are needed to secure the cylinder's degrees of freedom. Some issues has also surfaced regarding the placement of the lift pillars. It could become a problem with access to the cylinder. A solution would be to make space inside the case for the pillars to slide into. This would enable the pillars to be fastened to the top cylinder plate from below and three pillars could be used since the third would not obscure the optical access to the cylinder.

#### 6.2.3 Future Work on Engine

As mentioned, some parts of the engine design needs verification in form of FE-analysis to determine their strength and estimated lifetime. The most crucial components are the shafts, gears and bearing seats. The cylinder lift system also needs further development as there are some questions about its function. The main issue is with the four lift pillars which might limit the physical access to the cylinder and piston.

Some further work also needs to be done on adding external components such as engine brake, intake/exhaust, fuel line, cooling system and oil supply to the model. Almost all external components can affect the optical and physical access to the engine. Due to the lift system all components connected to the cylinder head need to be connected with either flexible hoses or quick release mechanisms. The engine design will undoubtedly undergo major changes before a prototype is built.

The transparent components may become a major limiting factor of the engine's performance. An in depth study of the strength of the transparent components needs to be done and a design which not limits the performance of the piston has to be found. Some concepts, such as the all metal cylinder has already been developed.

As it stands, there are some ideas surrounding a cylinder with smaller transparent segments which need to be explored. More experience regarding actual research and engine testing is believed to be required in order to complete this design as the requirement for optical access through the cylinder liner is highly dependent on the experiment being done.

## References

- [ASM16] ASM. *Titanium Ti-6Al-4V (Grade 5), Annealed.* Technical data sheet. 2016. URL: http://asm. matweb.com/search/SpecificMaterial.asp?bassnum=MTP641 (visited on 04/25/2016).
- [Cor08] Corning Incorporated, ed. HPFS Fused Silica Standard Grade. Technical data sheet. Oct. 2008.
   [Jap03] M. Japp. "Formelsamling i mekanik". 2003.
- [Kaw+09] M. Kawaguchi et al. Weight Reduction of Reciprocating Parts with a Spherical Joint Piston. SAE International 9-2009-01-0196 (Jan. 2009).
- [MAH12] MAHLE GmbH (Ed.), ed. *Pistons and engine testing*. Version 1. Vieweg+ Teubner Verlag & Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, 2012. Chap. 4.2 Aluminum materials, p. 67.
- [Met15] Metal Ravne. Steel CK45 (Mat.No. 1.1191, DIN Ck45, AISI 1045). Technical data sheet. 2015. URL: http://www.metalravne.com/steelselector/steels/CK45.html (visited on 05/01/2016).
- [SKF12] SKF. Rolling bearings. PUB BU/P1 10000 EN. SKF Group, Oct. 2012, p. 830.
- [Sve09] I. D. ( by Sven Andersson 2011. "Lecture Notes, Piston Engine Mechanics". 2009.
- [Tre11] Trelleborg Sealing Solutions. *GLYD RING® RG58/ PG59.* EPHE025\_02. June 2011.
- [UDD11] UDDEHOLM. Alumec 89. Technical data sheet. June 2011. URL: http://www.uddeholm.com/ files/PB\_alumec\_english.pdf (visited on 04/25/2016).
- [Ull03] D. G. Ullman. The Mechanical Design Process. Vol. 3. Mechanical Engineering. 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020: McGraw-Hill, 2003.

## A Piston Forces

The forces acting on the piston have been derived to be used as boundary conditions for the FE-analyses of the piston. The piston forces can be simplified to a two dimensional force equilibrium. The forces taken into consideration for the analysis are the inertia forces and cylinder pressure force. The derivations are presented in the following sections.

### A.1 Motion

The system analysed contains three components; piston, connecting rod (conrod) and crankshaft. An illustration of the system can be seen in Figure A.1.



Figure A.1: Crank Rod Slider mechanism

Angle  $\alpha$ , starting at TDC, defines the rotation of the crankshaft. Using crank radius r, the position of the crankpin (<u>*CP*</u>) can be derived as a vector

$$\underline{CP} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ r \cdot \sin(\alpha)\\ r \cdot \cos(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.1)

The position of the piston pin  $(\underline{PP})$  is determined by its translational axis offset (pin offset)

$$\underline{PP} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ y_{pp} = \operatorname{Pin} \text{ Offset}\\ z_{pp} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.2)

The vertical position  $z_{pp}$  is unknown but can be determined by a constraint equation resembling the conrod. The constraint equation can be derived as

$$l = ||\underline{PP} - \underline{CP}|| \Rightarrow$$

$$z_{pp} = \sqrt{l^2 - \left( (x_{pp} - x_{cp})^2 + (y_{pp} - y_{cp})^2 \right)} + z_{cp}$$
(A.3)

Velocity and acceleration of the piston pin can be obtained by time derivation of the pin position

$$\underline{v}_{pp} = \frac{d\underline{PP}}{dt}$$

$$\underline{a}_{pp} = \frac{d^2\underline{PP}}{dt^2}$$
(A.4)

The derivation was achieved by numerical time derivation, using the symmetrical difference quotient. The result can be seen in Figure A.2.



Figure A.2: Vertical displacement, Velocity and acceleration of piston pin at 6000 RPM

The rod angle  $\beta$  can be determined by inverse tangent of the rod vector components

$$\underline{r}_{rod} = \underline{CP} - \underline{PP} \tag{A.5}$$

$$\beta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y_{rod}}{-z_{rod}} \right) \tag{A.6}$$

And in the same manner as for the pin displacement, the x-component of angular velocity and acceleration can be obtained by time derivation

$$\omega_{pp} = \frac{d\beta}{dt}$$

$$\dot{\omega}_{pp} = \frac{d^2\beta}{dt^2}$$
(A.7)

Which are then put into a three dimensional vector representation of the rotations

$$\underline{\omega}_{pp} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{pp} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.8)

$$\underline{\dot{\omega}}_{pp} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\omega}_{pp} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.9)

These were also derived by using numerical symmetric difference quotient. The results can be seen in Figure A.3.



Figure A.3: Rod angle, angular velocity and angular acceleration at 6000 RPM

Combining the translational motion of the piston and piston pin with the rotational motion of the conrod yields the total acceleration of the centre of mass of the conrod. Using formula 4.2 [Jap03]

$$\underline{a}_{rod,com} = \underline{a}_{pp} + \underline{\omega}_{pp} \times (\underline{\omega}_{pp} \times \underline{r}_{pp-com}) + \underline{\dot{\omega}}_{pp} \times \underline{r}_{pp-com}$$
(A.10)

The acceleration components can be seen in Figure A.4.



Figure A.4: Acceleration components

### A.2 Force Equilibrium

The piston is subjected to two major forces; inertia and cylinder pressure. The inertia forces was computed from the derived accelerations using Newton's second law

$$\sum F = m \cdot a \tag{A.11a}$$

$$\sum M = I \cdot \dot{\omega} \tag{A.11b}$$

The inertia forces could be divided in two types; piston inertia and conrod inertia. The piston inertia force is simply computed using piston mass times its vertical acceleration. The conrod inertia force however is more complex due to its nonlinear motion. Of interest is the contribution from the conrod inertia to the piston pin, namely the conrod small end inertia forces.

#### A.2.1 Conrod Inertia

The conrod inertia force at the small end can be solved from an equilibrium equation using the centre of mass acceleration and rotational inertia of the rod. An illustration of the force components can be seen in Figure A.5.


Figure A.5: Force components

The equilibrium equations of the conrod in the yz-plane can be interpreted as

$$\sum F_y = F_{y,pp} + F_{y,cp} = m_{rod} \cdot a_{y,rod}$$
(A.12a)

$$\sum F_z = F_{z,cp} = m_{rod} \cdot a_{z,rod} \tag{A.12b}$$

$$\sum M_x = F_{y,cp} \cdot r_{z,1} + F_{z,cp} \cdot r_{y,1} + F_{y,pp} \cdot r_{z,2} = I \cdot \dot{\omega}_x$$
(A.12c)

From the moment equation A.12c, the horizontal crank pin force can be solved which then gives the reaction force on the piston pin

$$F_{y,cp} = \frac{I \cdot \dot{\omega}_x - m_{rod} \left( a_{z,rod} \cdot r_{y,1} + a_{y,rod} \cdot r_{z,2} \right)}{r_{z,1} - r_{z,2}}$$
(A.13a)

$$F_{y,pp} = m_{rod} \cdot a_{y,rod} - F_{y,cp} \tag{A.13b}$$

The derived force  $F_{y,pp}$  is then the inertia force contribution from the rod that affects the piston pin and piston.

#### A.2.2 Total Force

The pressure force was computed using the provided cylinder pressure data, and the total vertical force on the piston was computed as

$$F_{z,P} = -P_{cyl}\frac{\pi \cdot D^2}{4} \tag{A.14a}$$

$$F_{z,piston} = F_{z,P} + m_{piston} \cdot a_{z,piston}$$
(A.14b)

Due to the conrod angle  $\beta$ , the vertical inertia and pressure forces introduces a horizontal normal force against the cylinder wall, which is added to the conrod small end inertia force.

$$F_{y,normal} = F_{z,piston} \cdot tan(\beta) \tag{A.15a}$$

$$F_{y,piston} = F_{y,normal} + F_{y,pp} \tag{A.15b}$$

The force  $F_{piston}$  is then the total force acting on the piston pin.

# **B** Material data

| No.                                | 1           | 2         | 3         | 4            | 5                   |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|
| Name                               | DIN CK45    | M244      | Alumec 89 | HPFS 7980    | Ti-6Al-4V (Grade 5) |
| Type                               | HTS         | Cast Alu. | Aluminium | Fused Silica | Titanium            |
| Young's modulus<br>E [GPa]         | 210         | 90        | 71.5      | 72.7         | 113.8               |
| Poisson's ratio $\nu$ [1]          | 0.3         | 0.3       | 0.3       | 0.16         | 0.34                |
| Yield strength $\sigma_y$ [MPa]    | 500         | 185       | 550       | -            | 880                 |
| Ultimate strength $\sigma_u$ [MPa] | 585         | 190       | 590       | 52.4         | 950                 |
| Reference temp.<br>$t_{ref}$ [°C]  | -           | 25        | 25        | 25           | -                   |
| Density $\rho  [\mathrm{kg/m^3}]$  | 7840        | 2650      | 2830      | 2201         | 4430                |
| Manufacturer                       | Metal Ravne | MAHLE     | UDDEHOLM  | CORNING      | -                   |
| Source                             | [Met15]     | [MAH12]   | [UDD11]   | [Cor08]      | [ASM16]             |

Table B.1: Material data

# C Boundary Conditions

Settings not stated was set to default/ program controlled.

## C.1 Benchmark

The following BC:s and settings were implemented to the benchmark analysis:

- Direct solver, weak springs off.
- Mesh:
  - Free mesh.
  - Contact mesh set to 2 mm.
- Contacts:
  - Piston to pin set to frictional, friction coefficient 0.1.
  - Extension to piston contact set to frictional, 0.5 friction coefficient.
- Supports:
  - Deformable remote displacement at the conrod imprint of the pin, set to 0 in x-, y-, z- and rz-direction.
  - Deformable remote displacement at the threaded part of the crown interface of the extension, set to 0 in y-direction.
- Force:
  - Piston skirt force at x-direction from derived forces  $(F_{y,pp})$ .
  - Crown inertia and pressure force set as remote force, coupled, to the top of the extension in z-direction, from derived forces  $(F_{c-e})$ .
- Global acceleration from derived motion, in z-direction  $(a_z)$ .
- Spring stiffness 999 MN/m?, pre load 6000 N, deformable in both ends.
- Stress tool with effective von Mises stress, providing safety factor against yielding, scoped to piston and extension. Convergence criteria implemented at 20%. Adaptive mesh refinement at refinement depth 2 and 2 refinement loops.

Contact refinement at 2 mm was shown to work well. Without contact refinement divergence issues arose. Dry contact frictions were set to 0.5, while assumed lubricated contacts were set to friction coefficient 0.1. The springs were shown to cause high stresses when their application was set to rigid. With settings changed to deformable, a more even distribution of the stresses was achieved. Due to limiting computer capacities, no further than 2 refinement iterations could be implemented.

### C.2 Piston AA

The following BC:s and settings were implemented to the analysis of the initial concept piston AA:

- Direct solver, weak springs off.
- Symmetry region applied to symmetry boundaries.
- Mesh:

- Free mesh.
- Contact mesh set to 2 mm.
- -1 Refinement at pin fillet.
- Contacts:
  - Extension to Crown cylindrical surface connection set to frictionless.
  - Other connections set to bonded.
- Supports:
  - Deformable remote displacement applied to pin to rod surface, set to 0 in y-, z-direction.
  - Deformable remote displacement applied to top ring land, set to 0 in y-direction.
- Force:
  - Pressure applied to top of the piston,  $P_{cyl}$ .
  - Force applied to crosshead imprint of pin in y-direction,  $F_{y,pp}$
- Global acceleration from derived motion, in z-direction  $(a_z)$ .
- Stress tool with effective von Mises stress, providing safety factor against yielding, scoped to bottom piston. Convergence criteria implemented at 20%. Adaptive mesh refinement at refinement depth 2 and 2 refinement loops.

Applying a remote displacement to the piston pins and avoiding locking its rotations allows the pins to bend. Since the conrods would bend in some manner it was chosen to apply free rotation to the pin rather than locking them completely, thus a conservative approach was implemented.

### C.3 Stiffness comparison

The following BC:s and settings were implemented to the stiffness analyses:

- Direct solver, weak springs off.
- Symmetry region applied to symmetry boundaries.
- Mesh:
  - Body sizing 4 mm.
  - Contact mesh set to  $2\,\mathrm{mm}$  on all contacts.
- Contacts:
  - $-\,$  AA: All contacts set to bonded.
  - BA-EC: Pin to piston contact set to frictional, friction coefficient 0.1.
- Supports:
  - AA: Deformable remote displacement to conrod imprint on pin, set to 0 in z-direction.
  - BA-EC: Deformable remote displacement to conrod imprint on pin, set to 0 in x-, z-direction.
  - Displacement,  $+/-0.5 \,\mathrm{mm}$  on top boundary.

The loose piston pins had to be locked in x-direction to prevent them from sliding off the pin bores when the piston was stretched/ compressed, causing it to bend.

## C.4 Iteration 1 and 2 - EB and GB

The following BC:s and settings were implemented to the first and second stress analysis iterations of the EBand GB-pistons.

- Direct solver, weak springs off.
- Symmetry region applied to symmetry boundaries.
- Mesh:
  - EB: Body sizing 6 mm on piston body, 4 mm on crosshead and pin.
  - GB: Free mesh.
  - Contact mesh set to 2 mm on all contacts.
- Contacts:
  - Pin to body set to frictional, friction coefficient 0.2.
  - Crosshead to body set to frictional, friction coefficient 0.2.
  - Pin to slider set to bonded.
- Supports:
  - Deformable remote displacement scoped to conrod imprint of pin, lower half while forces acting downward and upper half while forces acting upward, set to 0 in y-, z-direction.
  - Deformable remote displacement scoped to top thread, set to 0 in y-direction.
- Force
  - Crown inertia and pressure force scoped to crown interface in z-direction, from derived forces  $(F_{c-e})$ .
  - Crosshead force in y-direction scoped to either contact side of crosshead, from derived forces  $(F_{y,pp})$ .
- Global acceleration from derived motion, in z-direction  $(a_z)$ .
- Screws, crosshead to body, replaced by springs. Scoped to holes and screw head imprint on crosshead, rigid in both ends. EB: Spring stiffness 370 MN/m, pre load 5000 N. GB: Spring stiffness 264 MN/m, pre load 3000 N.
- Stress tool with effective von Mises stress, providing safety factor against yielding, scoped to piston body and crosshead. Convergence criteria implemented at 20%. Adaptive mesh refinement at refinement depth 2 and 1 refinement loop.

The conrod imprint on the pin was split horizontally in half to provide two separate boundaries. This was made to achieve only compressive reaction force against the pin, depending the direction of the forces. When remote displacement is scoped around the whole pin it pushes on one side and pulls on the other. The connection between conrod and pin only allows compressive forces.

Due to redesign of the crossheads, the screws became longer thus lower stiffness for the GB-piston. The pre load was also lowered to avoid high stresses occurring around the screw holes.

Due to the use of adaptive mesh refinement body sizing was abandoned after EB and free mesh was adapted instead.

## C.5 Iteration 3 - GD

The following BC:s and settings were implemented to the third stress analysis iteration of the GD-piston.

- Direct solver, weak springs off.
- Symmetry region applied to symmetry boundaries.
- Mesh:
  - Free mesh.
  - Contact mesh set to 2 mm on all contacts.
- Contacts:
  - Conrod to pin set to frictional, friction coeff. 0.1 (assumed lubricated).
  - Conrod to body set to frictional, friction coeff. 0.1 (assumed lubricated).
  - Pin to body set to frictional, friction coeff. 0.5 (assumed dry).
  - Pin-Ball-Body set to bonded.
  - Crosshead to body set to frictional, friction coefficient 0.5 (assumed dry).
- Supports:
  - Coupled remote displacement scoped to conrod bearing, set to 0 in y-, x-, z-direction.
  - Deformable remote displacement scoped to top thread, set to 0 in y-direction.
  - Compression only support scoped to compression side of crosshead.
- Force
  - Crown inertia and pressure force scoped to crown interface in z-direction, from derived forces  $(F_{c-e})$ .
  - Conrod small end inertia force, scoped to conrod small end, in y-direction, from derived forces  $(F_{y,pp,rod})$ .
- Global acceleration from derived motion, in z-direction  $(a_z)$ .
- Screws, crosshead to body, replaced by springs. Scoped to holes and screw head imprint on crosshead, deformable in both ends. Spring stiffness 264 MN/m, pre load 3000 N.
- Stress tool with effective von Mises stress, providing safety factor against yielding, scoped to piston body and crosshead. Convergence criteria implemented at 10%. Adaptive mesh refinement at refinement depth 3 and 2 refinement loop.

## D Design Improvement AA - EC

The design improvements implemented from piston AA to EC are described in this section.

### D.1 B-series

The B-series pistons were the first pin-bore pistons with loose piston pins after the initial piston AA. The same crown as AA was used throughout the B-series. The various B-pistons can be seen in Figure D.1

1. BA

- Separate piston pins and pin houses on the side of the piston body.
- Reinforcement ribs 45° from horizontal plane, upwards from pin houses to body.
- Internal sealing groove in the bottom, meaning that the pin bores would leak oil into optical volume without pin bore sealing.
- Narrow body, outer diameter 71 mm, inner diameter 68 mm.

#### 2. BB

- Lower reinforcement ribs added to the pin houses,  $-18^{\circ}$  from horizontal plane.
- Pin houses rounded.

#### 3. BC

- Lower ribs changed to 0°.
- A 90° rib was added on top side of the pin houses.
- The side of the pin houses were fitted with threaded holes as a crosshead interface.

#### 4. BD

- Two -45° reinforcement ribs added between inner part of pin house to the piston body on each side.
- Piston body was enlarged to outer diameter 73 mm and inner 70 mm.

The ribs were shown to have a significant impact on the stiffness. No test were made without the upper ribs, but various lower ribs were tested. The angled ribs used in BB were shown to increase stiffness more than the horizontal ribs tested in BC and BD. The vertical rib in BC and BD were shown to have very little impact, since the material in the body could not absorb the force from the rib.



Figure D.1: B-series pistons, isometric view

## D.2 D-series

C-series were abandoned. In the D-series the piston body was enlarged to an outer diameter of 81 mm, which enabled an outer sealing possibility to seal off crank case oil from the cylinder. Same crown as B-series was adapted, but with some minor changes, renaming it to DA. The pistons can be viewed in Figure D.2.

5. DA

- Pin houses wedge shaped with 20° side walls (maximum angle of the conrod).
- 45° top ribs as B-series.
- 0° ribs at the bottom of the pin houses.
- Two major internal reinforcement ribs at the connection to the top ribs and lower ribs.
- Internally, all goods in the lower part were removed except around the pin bores.
- Inner diameter 79 mm.

6. DB

- The lower ribs were changed to  $-23^{\circ}$  and aligned with pin axis.
- Inner diameter decreased to 78 mm.

7. DD

• New crown was fitted, DD, with 20 mm window and improved valve cut-outs based upon the Volvo VEP-HP piston.

The combination of wedge-shaped pin houses and inner reinforcement, combined with the angled lower ribs implemented in DB, gave a significant increase in stiffness compared to B-series pistons. No inner seal groove or crosshead were implemented in this iteration.



Figure D.2: D-series pistons, 3/4 isometric section view

### D.3 E-series

Most significant changes to the E-series compared to earlier was that a new crown, with a new window mounting device, was introduced, alongside with crossheads and an inner sealing groove. The E-series pistons can be viewed in Figure D.3.

8. EA

- New crown was fitted, EA, with the window mounted from the topside and squeezed by a ring attached with six screws. Window resting on a step inside the crown instead of the top of the piston body.
- Piston body adapted to the new crown.

9. EB

- Inner seal groove was implemented, above the pin bores, making sealing of the pin bores obsolete.
- Crossheads were fitted, partially to the pins and partially to the pin houses.
- The higher internal reinforcement ring was thickened slightly.

#### 10. EC

• Crosshead interface was changed slightly, moving the two lower screws closer to the pin since the drilled holes in EB caused a stress concentration inside the pin houses.

Most interesting difference with the step from DD to EA is the reduction of weight due to the new crown. The top window ring allows for cut-outs in the goods, and a part of the piston body top could be removed. The re-introduction of crossheads caused a problem due to the lower screw holes in the pin houses. The four lower screws had to be replaced, a phenomenon covered in 3.5.1. Not surprisingly, the crossheads added some weight to the piston assembly.



# **E** Design Changes G-series Pistons

Pictures of the various G-series pistons can be seen in Figure E.1.



Figure E.1: G-series pistons, 3/4 isometric section view

Pictures of the G-series metal pistons can be seen in Figure E.2.



(c) *GFM* Figure E.2: *G-series pistons*, 3/4 isometric section view

# F Concept Comparison

A grading scale of -1,0 and 1 was used to compare the attributes of each concept. The total sum of all points were used to determine the most promising concept. Note that no weighing of the different attributes are done. However, such a grading would not change the outcome of this matrix.

| Property         | DRSC | DRDCW | DRDCD    |
|------------------|------|-------|----------|
| Wear             | 1    | 1     | -1       |
| Sync             | 1    | -1    | -1       |
| Oil Interference | -1   | -1    | 1        |
| Mirror req.      | -1   | 1     | 1        |
| Pumping          | -1   | 0     | 1        |
| Access           | -1   | 0     | 1        |
| Dust & Dirt      | 1    | 1     | -1       |
| Crank Complexity | -1   | 1     | 1        |
| Crank Drive      | 1    | -1    | -1       |
| Rod Placement    | -1   | 1     | 1        |
| Only Ext. Guide  | -1   | 1     | 1        |
| Piston Bearing   | 1    | 1     | -1       |
| Total            | -2   | 4     | <b>2</b> |

Table F.1: Base concept comparison matrix

Table F.2: Definitions for Table F.1

| Wear             | - | Wear to moving components due to oil absence        |
|------------------|---|---|
| Sync             | - | Requirement of a sync shaft                         |
| Oil Interference | - | Requirement to shield OA from oil                   |
| Mirror req.      | - | Requirement of a mirror                             |
| Pumping          | - | Pumping effect in viewing tube due to piston motion |
| Access           | - | Physical access to the cylinder and piston          |
| Dust & Dirt      | - | Dust and dirt contamination inside piston           |
| Crank Complexity | - | Manufacturing complexity of crank shaft             |
| Crank Drive      | - | Special connection to brake                         |
| Rod Placement    | - | Limitations on conrod placement                     |
| Only Ext. Guide  | - | Piston only guided by outside geometries            |
| Piston Bearing   | - | Lubrication of piston friction surfaces             |